Building on the national overview provided in the main report, the following appendix provides a snapshot of each state that includes minority voting participation, compliance with the Voting Rights Act (VRA) and other indicators of minority voting rights. These state profiles include:

- **Registration and Turnout by Race:** Registration and turnout data provide information about citizens’ level of participation in elections. Significant disparities among racial groups may indicate the existence of direct or indirect barriers to minorities’ ability to exercise their right to vote, or a less engaged minority electorate, which may be the result of laws that make it difficult for minorities to participate in a meaningful way. However, the absence of a registration or turnout disparity in a particular state does not, in and of itself, provide an assurance that voting discrimination is not a problem in that state.

- **Citizen Voting Age Population by Race:** Citizen voting age population (CVAP) by race provides a benchmark for gauging the potential racial makeup of the electorate and the potential opportunity of different groups to meaningfully participate in the electoral process.

- **Numbers of Minority Elected Officials:** The extent to which minorities are winning elected office provides another data point for evaluating whether minority voters are being permitted to meaningfully participate. This data point does not necessarily speak to whether and to what extent voting is racially polarized in a particular state since a large percentage of minority officials are elected from majority-minority electoral districts.

- **Section 5 Coverage:** Until the Supreme Court’s recent decision in Shelby County, Ala. v. Holder, 133 S. Ct. 2612 (2013), Section 5 of the VRA required areas with a significant history and recent pattern of voting discrimination to obtain “preclearance” or permission from the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) or the United States District Court for the District of Columbia (DC District Court) before implementing any voting changes. Section 5 applied to nine states as a whole, plus portions of another six states. Jurisdictions were permitted to “bail out” of the preclearance requirement if they could show that they had not discriminated in voting for ten years.

- **Section 203 Coverage:** Under Section 203 of the VRA, certain jurisdictions are required to provide voting materials in one or more languages in addition to English if the jurisdiction’s language-minority citizens have an illiteracy rate higher than the national illiteracy rate. Coverage under Section 203 is re-determined periodically based on new Census data. Section 203 applies to all or part of 25 states.

- **Section 2 Cases:** Section 2 of the VRA is a permanent provision that prohibits the use of any voting standard, practice or procedure that “results in a denial or abridgement of the right of any citizen of the United States to vote on account of” race, color or membership in a language minority group. The provision frequently has been applied to at-large election systems and redistricting plans that dilute the voting power of minority groups, and also has been applied to other voting practices that bear more heavily on minority voters. The state pages identify cases since 1995 in which a court found a Section 2 violation and cases since 1995 that were settled where such settlement required that a challenged election practice be replaced or modified.

- **Language Assistance Cases:** Several provisions of the VRA address the obligation of some jurisdictions to provide language assistance when conducting elections. In addition to Section 203, these include Section 4(e) (which specifically concerns Puerto Rican Americans), Section 4(f)(4) (a provision similar to Section 203 which relied upon the coverage formula struck down in Shelby County), and Section 208 (which concerns voting assistance at the polls). Also, the absence of needed language assistance may be challenged under Section 2. Like the Section 2 case listings, the state pages identify cases since 1995 in which a court found a language-assistance violation and language-assistance cases since 1995 that were settled where such settlement required jurisdictions to take additional steps to provide such assistance.

- **DOJ Objections/Court Denials of Preclearance:** As noted above, jurisdictions subject to Section 5 were required to seek preclearance before implementing any voting changes. For those jurisdictions, the state pages identify the instances since 1995 where voting changes were blocked under Section 5, either by DOJ or the DC District Court.
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Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>CVAP Registration</th>
<th>CVAP Turnout</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White (non-Hispanic)</td>
<td>70.9%</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American (alone)</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino (all races)</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian (alone)</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

- **Members of US Congress**
  - 1996: 8 members, 1 African-American
  - 2008: 8 members, 1 African-American
  - 2012: 8 members, 1 African-American

- **Statewide Office**
  - 1996: 4 members
  - 2008: 4 members
  - 2012: 4 members

- **State Legislature**
  - 1996: 104 members
  - 2008: 105 members
  - 2012: 107 members

---

1. Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2. Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3. This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act

The entire state of Alabama, with the exception of the City of Pinson (which was bailed out in 2012), was covered by Section 5 at the time of the Shelby County decision.

Coverage 2011 to Present Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act

Currently, none of Alabama is covered by Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method By Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greene County Democratic Executive Committee</td>
<td>Kennard v. Greene County Democratic Committee, No. 7:94CV02952 (N.D. Ala. 1996)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction (submission no.)</th>
<th>Voting Change(s)</th>
<th>Action Date(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tallapoosa County (97-1021)</td>
<td>Redistricting plan</td>
<td>2/6/1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabaster (Shelby Cty) (2000-2230)</td>
<td>Annexations (ordinance nos. 94-338 and 96-410)</td>
<td>8/16/2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile County (2006-6792)</td>
<td>Change in method of election for filling vacancies occurring on the Mobile County Commission from special election to gubernatorial appointment</td>
<td>1/8/2007 (withdrawn 7-23-08 based on Supreme Court holding that no voting change occurred, Riley v. Kennedy, 553 U.S. 406 (2008))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Calera (Shelby Cty) (2008-1621)</td>
<td>177 annexations and a redistricting plan</td>
<td>8/25/2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Alabama: Section 5 Objections and District Court Preclearance Denials by County
From 1995 to 2013
With Percentage of the Non-White Voting Age Population

Data Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2010 Redistricting Data (PL 94–171) Summary File and U.S. Department of Justice
Cartography: Voting Rights Project, Lawyers’ Committee for Civil Rights Under Law
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2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

Members of US Congress

- 1996: 3
- 2008: 3
- 2012: 3

Statewide Office

- 1996: 2
- 2008: 2
- 2012: 2

State Legislature

- 1996: 60
- 2008: 58
- 2012: 54

1 Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2 Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3 This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
The entire state of Alaska was covered by Section 5 at the time of the Shelby County decision.

Coverage 2011 to Present Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, three boroughs and eight census areas in Alaska are required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Alaska Native community. One borough and one census area are required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Asian community. One borough is required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method by Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Language(s)</th>
<th>Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?</th>
<th>VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bethel</td>
<td>Nick v. Bethel, No. 3:07CV0098 (D. Alaska 2010)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yup’ik</td>
<td>No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)</td>
<td>Sections 4(f)(4), 203, &amp; 205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

2012 CVAP Registration  2012 CVAP Turnout

Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

- White (non-Hispanic): 64.5%
- African-American (alone): 4.8%
- Hispanic/Latino (all races): 22.9%
- Asian (alone): 3.7%
- Other: 4.0%

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

- Members of US Congress
  - 1996: 1
  - 2008: 2
  - 2012: 2

- Statewide Office
  - 1996: 3
  - 2008: 3
  - 2012: 3

- State Legislature
  - 1996: 78
  - 2008: 74
  - 2012: 66

1. Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2. Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3. This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act

The entire state of Arizona was covered by Section 5 at the time of the Shelby County decision.

Coverage 2011 to Present Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act

Currently, four counties in Arizona are required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community. Nine counties are required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for one or more American Indian communities.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method by Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>ITCA &amp; Navajo Nation v. Brewer, Nos. 06-1362, 06-1575 (D. Ariz. May 27, 2008) (consolidated cases)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Voter identification for in-person voting (allowable identification for Indian tribal members)</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method by Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Language(s)</th>
<th>Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?</th>
<th>VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cochise County</td>
<td>United States v. Cochise County, No. 06-304 (D. Ariz. 2006)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)</td>
<td>Section 203 &amp; HAVA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction (submission no.)</th>
<th>Voting Change(s)</th>
<th>Action Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Arizona: Section 5 Objections and District Court Preclearance Denials by County
From 1995 to 2013
With Percentage of the Non-White Voting Age Population

Map does not include one statewide objection.
Data Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2010
Redistricting Data (PL 94-171) Summary File and U.S.
Department of Justice
Cartography: Voting Rights Project, Lawyers’ Committee
for Civil Rights Under Law
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2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

Members of US Congress

Statewide Office

State Legislature

---

1 Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2 Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3 This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.

---

Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.

Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.

This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act

None of Arkansas was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act

Currently, none of Arkansas is covered under Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method By Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chicot County</td>
<td>Cox v. Donaldson, No. 5:02CV319 (E.D. Ark. 2003)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Election schedule for School Board positions</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014

None.
With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

1. Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2. Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3. This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act

Three counties in California, with the exception of three jurisdictions (which were bailed out between 2011 and 2013), were covered by Section 5 at the time of the Shelby County decision. A fourth county was covered until 2012.

Coverage 2011 to Present Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act

Currently, the state of California is required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community. In addition, 28 counties are separately required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community. Eight counties in California are required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for one or more Asian communities.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method By Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Los Angeles</td>
<td>Garcia v. City of Los Angeles, No. 2:96CV7661 (C.D. Cal. 1997)</td>
<td>Court Decision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At-large method of election (charter commission)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Paula</td>
<td>United States v. City of Santa Paula, No. cv-00-03691-GHK (C.D. Cal. 2001)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>Stipulation established significant elements of Section 2 violation</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District</td>
<td>United States v. Upper San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District, No. 00-07903 (C.D. Cal. 2003)</td>
<td>Stipulation and Order</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Redistricting plan</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method By Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Language(s)</th>
<th>Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?</th>
<th>VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alameda County</td>
<td>United States v. Alameda County, No. 95-1286 (N.D. Cal. 1996)</td>
<td>Settlement Agreement and Order</td>
<td>No (however, county admitted failure to provide bilingual materials)</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>Yes, and Section 3 preclearance also ordered</td>
<td>Sections 2 and 203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda County</td>
<td>United States v. Alameda County, No. 11-3262 (N.D. Cal. 2011)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Spanish &amp; Chinese</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Section 203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurisdiction (submission no.)</td>
<td>Voting Change(s)</td>
<td>Action Date</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

Members of US Congress

Statewide Office

State Legislature

1 Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2 Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3 This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Colorado was covered by Section 5 at the time of the Shelby County decision. El Paso County was covered until 1984.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, three counties in Colorado are required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method By Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Sanchez v. Colorado, 97 F.3d 1303 (10th Cir 1996)</td>
<td>Court Decision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Redistricting plan for State House</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
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Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials¹
With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

1 Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2 Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3 This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Connecticut was covered by Section 5 at the time of the Shelby County decision. Three towns were covered until 1984.

Coverage 2011 to Present Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, nine towns in Connecticut are required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

- **Members of US Congress**
  - 1996: 3
  - 2008: 3
  - 2012: 3

- **Statewide Office**
  - 1996: 3
  - 2008: 3
  - 2012: 3

- **State Legislature**
  - 1996: 58
  - 2008: 56
  - 2012: 55

---

1. Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2. Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3. This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Delaware was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, none of Delaware is covered under Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

1  Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2  Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3  This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act

Five counties in Florida were covered by Section 5 at the time of the Shelby County decision.

Coverage 2011 to Present Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act

Currently, the state of Florida is required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community. In addition, ten counties are separately required to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method by Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Arcia v. Detzner, No. 1:12CV22282 (S.D. Fla. 2012)</td>
<td>Stipulation</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Voter purge</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State and Miami-Dade, Broward, Duval, Hillsborough, Leon, Orange, and Volusia Counties</td>
<td>NAACP v. Harris, No. 1:01cv120 (S.D. Fla.)</td>
<td>Settlements (multiple)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Punch-card voting; voter purges; poll worker training; provisional ballots</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Park</td>
<td>United States v. Town of Lake Park, No. 09-80507 (S.D. Fla. 2009)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osceola County</td>
<td>United States v. Osceola County, 475 F. Supp. 2d 1220 (M.D. Fla. 2006)</td>
<td>Court Decision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osceola County School District</td>
<td>United States v. School Board of Osceola County, No. 6:08CV582 (M.D. Fla. 2008)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Palm Beach City</td>
<td>Anderson v. West Palm Beach City, No. 9:94CV8135 (S.D. Fla. 1995)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method by Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Language(s)</th>
<th>Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?</th>
<th>VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miami-Dade County</td>
<td>United States v. Miami-Dade County, No. 02-21698 (S.D. Fla. 2002)</td>
<td>Consent Order</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Creole</td>
<td>Agreement for DOJ monitoring of elections, but not for federal observers</td>
<td>Section 208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjurisdiction</td>
<td>Case</td>
<td>Method by Which Case Was Resolved</td>
<td>Was a Violation Found?</td>
<td>Language(s)</td>
<td>Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?</td>
<td>VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange County</td>
<td>United States v. Orange County, No. 6:02-CV-737-Orl-22 JGG (M.D. Fla. 2002)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>Agreement for DOJ monitoring of elections, but not for federal observers</td>
<td>Sections 203 and 208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osceola County</td>
<td>United States v. Osceola County, No. 6:02-CV-738-ORL-22JGG (M.D. Fla. 2002)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>Agreement for DOJ monitoring of elections, but not for federal observers</td>
<td>Section 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volusia County</td>
<td>Perez-Santiago v. Volusia County, No. 6:08CV1868 (M.D. Fla. 2010)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Section 4(e)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State (98-1919)</td>
<td>Additional requirements for the absentee voting certificate and absentee ballot and the criminal penalty provided for in Section 26 (proposed Section 104.047 (3) of the Florida Election Code)</td>
<td>8/14/98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State (2002-2637)</td>
<td>2002 redistricting plan for the Florida House of Representatives</td>
<td>7/1/02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)**

- **White (non-Hispanic)**: 62.0%
- **Total minority**: 30.6%
- **African-American (alone)**: 3.5%
- **Hispanic/Latino (all races)**: 2.9%
- **Asian (alone)**: .9%
- **Other**: 2.9%

**Numbers of Minority Elected Officials**

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Members of US Congress</th>
<th>Statewide Office</th>
<th>State Legislature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes**

1. Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2. Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3. This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act

The entire state of Georgia, with the exception of the City of Sandy Springs (which was bailed out in 2010), was covered by Section 5 at the time of the Shelby County decision.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act

Currently, none of Georgia is covered under Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method By Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albany</td>
<td>Wright v. City of Albany, 306 F.Supp. 2d 1228 (M.D. Ga. 2003)</td>
<td>Liability admitted; Court Decision selected remedy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Redistricting plan</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fayette County Board of Commissioners &amp; School Board</td>
<td>Georgia State Conference of the NAACP v. Fayette County Board of Commissioners, 2013 WL 2948147 (N.D. Ga. 2013)</td>
<td>Court Decision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4; post-Shelby remedial order did not include a Section 3 remedy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folkston</td>
<td>Stafford v. Mayor and Council of Folkston, No. 5:96CV00111 (S.D. Ga. 1997)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaGrange</td>
<td>Cofield v. City of LaGrange, 969 F. Supp. 749 (N.D. Ga. 1997)</td>
<td>Court Decision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long County</td>
<td>United States v. Long County, No. 206-040 (S.D. Ga 2006)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Voter challenge procedures</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion County Commission</td>
<td>McBride and U.S. v. Marion County, No. 4:99-cv-134 (M.D. Ga. 2000)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Defendants admitted to strong basis in fact and law for Section 2 violation</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McIntosh County Board of Commissioners</td>
<td>McIntosh County NAACP v. McIntosh Board of Commissioners, No. 2:77CV00070 (S.D. Ga. 1997)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Redistricting plan</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>U.S. v. City of Monroe (1995)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

None.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction (submission no.)</th>
<th>Voting Change(s)</th>
<th>Action Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fulton County (94-4447)</td>
<td>Act No. 731 (1994)—addition of a ninth state court judgeship, four-year term of office, and implementation schedule</td>
<td>1/24/1995 (with-drawn 10-23-95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenkins County (94-2260)</td>
<td>Polling place (District 1)</td>
<td>3/20/1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webster County School District (98-1663)</td>
<td>Redistricting plan</td>
<td>1/11/2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tignall (Wilkes Cty) (99-2122)</td>
<td>Numbered posts, staggered terms, and a majority-vote requirement</td>
<td>3/17/2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashburn (Turner Cty) (94-4606)</td>
<td>Numbered posts and majority-vote requirement</td>
<td>10/1/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putnam County (2002-2987)</td>
<td>2001 redistricting plan</td>
<td>8/9/2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion County School District (2002-2943)</td>
<td>2002 redistricting plan</td>
<td>10/15/2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randolph County (2006-3856)</td>
<td>Change in voter registration and candidate eligibility</td>
<td>9/12/2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowndes County (2009-1965)</td>
<td>2009 redistricting plan</td>
<td>11/30/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greene County (2011-4687)</td>
<td>2011 redistricting plan for the Board of Commissioners and Board of Education</td>
<td>4/13/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long County (2012-2733)</td>
<td>2012 redistricting plan for the Board of Commissioners and Board of Education</td>
<td>8/27/2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Georgia: Section 5 Objections and District Court Preclearance Denials by County
From 1995 to 2013
With Percentage of the Non-White Voting Age Population

Map does not include two statewide objections.
Data Sources: U.S. Census Bureau. Census 2010 Redistricting Data (PL 94-"71) Summary File and U.S. Department of Justice
Cartography: Voting Rights Project, Lawyers’ Committee for Civil Rights Under Law
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2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

Members of US Congress

Statewide Office

State Legislature

1 Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2 Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3 This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Hawaii was covered by Section 5 at the time of the Shelby County decision. One county was covered until 1984.

Coverage 2011 to Present Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, two counties in Hawaii are required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for one or more Asian communities.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method by Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Arakaki v. State of Hawaii, 314 F. 3d 1091 (9th Cir 2002)</td>
<td>Court Decision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Qualification to serve in office</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method by Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Language(s) Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?</th>
<th>VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

- 2012 CVAP Registration
- 2012 CVAP Turnout

Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

- White (non-Hispanic): 89.8%
- African-American (alone): 6.4%
- Hispanic/Latino (all races): 2.5%
- Asian (alone): 0.7%
- Other: 0.6%

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

- Members of US Congress:
  - 1996: 4
  - 2008: 4
  - 2012: 3

- Statewide Office:
  - 1996: 4
  - 2008: 4
  - 2012: 4

- State Legislature:
  - 2012: 105
  - 2008: 104
  - 2012: 102

1. Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2. Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3. This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Idaho was covered by Section 5 at the time of the Shelby County decision. Elmore County was covered until 1984.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, none of Idaho is covered under Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

- **2012 CVAP Registration**
- **2012 CVAP Turnout**

### Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White (non-Hispanic)</td>
<td>72.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American (alone)</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino (all races)</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian (alone)</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

#### Members of US Congress

- **1996**: 17
  - White (non-Hispanic): 4
  - Black Elected Officials: 1
  - Hispanic Elected Officials: 6
  - Asian Elected Officials: 1
  - Native American Elected Officials: 1
- **2008**: 16
  - White (non-Hispanic): 4
  - Black Elected Officials: 1
  - Hispanic Elected Officials: 12
  - Asian Elected Officials: 1
  - Native American Elected Officials: 1
- **2012**: 15
  - White (non-Hispanic): 3
  - Black Elected Officials: 1
  - Hispanic Elected Officials: 13
  - Asian Elected Officials: 1
  - Native American Elected Officials: 1

#### Statewide Office

- **1996**: 4
  - White and Other Elected Officials: 3
  - Black Elected Officials: 1
- **2008**: 3
  - White and Other Elected Officials: 1
  - Black Elected Officials: 1
- **2012**: 3
  - White and Other Elected Officials: 1
  - Black Elected Officials: 1

#### State Legislature

- **1996**: 149
  - White and Other Elected Officials: 127
  - Black Elected Officials: 6
  - Hispanic Elected Officials: 6
  - Asian Elected Officials: 1
  - Native American Elected Officials: 1
- **2008**: 135
  - White and Other Elected Officials: 121
  - Black Elected Officials: 12
  - Hispanic Elected Officials: 12
  - Asian Elected Officials: 1
  - Native American Elected Officials: 1
- **2012**: 133
  - White and Other Elected Officials: 123
  - Black Elected Officials: 10
  - Hispanic Elected Officials: 13
  - Asian Elected Officials: 1
  - Native American Elected Officials: 1

---

1. Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2. Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3. This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Illinois was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage 2011 to Present Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, one county in Illinois is required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for one or more Asian communities. Four counties are required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method by Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Black v. McGuffage, No. 1:01CV208 (N.D. Ill. 2003)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Punch-card voting</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>Barnett v. City of Chicago, 17 F. Supp. 2d 753 (N.D. Ill. 1998)</td>
<td>Court Decision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Redistricting plan</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method by Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Language(s)</th>
<th>Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?</th>
<th>VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kane County</td>
<td>United States v. Kane County, No. 07-5451 (N.D. Ill. 2007)</td>
<td>Memorandum of Agreement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Sections 203 &amp; 208</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

1 Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2 Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3 This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Indiana was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, none of Indiana is covered under Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials
With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

Members of US Congress

Statewide Office

State Legislature

1 Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2 Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3 This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Iowa was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, none of Iowa is covered under Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials
With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

Members of US Congress

Statewide Office

State Legislature

Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Kansas was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, four counties in Kansas are required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

1 Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2 Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3 This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Kentucky was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, none of Kentucky is covered under Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.

Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.

This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
The entire state of Louisiana was covered by Section 5 at the time of the Shelby County decision.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, none of Louisiana is covered under Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014
(adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case, Method By Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge</td>
<td>Glasper v. City of Baton Rouge, No. 3:93CV00537 (M.D. La. 2006) Consent Judgment</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Redistricting plan</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan City</td>
<td>United States &amp; Keeler v. City of Morgan City, No. cv-00-1548 (W.D. La. 2000) Settlement</td>
<td>Defendants admitted claims had reasonable factual and legal basis.</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ouachita Parish School Board</td>
<td>Young v. Ouachita Parish, No. 3:02CV1644 (W.D. La. 2002) Consent Judgment</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Multi-member method of election &amp; redistricting plan</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Landry Parish Police Jury and School Board</td>
<td>NAACP v. St. Landry Parish, No. 6:03CV00610 (W.D. La. 2005) Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Redistricting plan</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Martinville</td>
<td>Grieg &amp; U.S. v. City of St. Martinville, No. 6:00-cv-603 (W.D. La. 2001) Defendant action after case filed</td>
<td>Malapportionment admitted</td>
<td>Redistricting plan</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction (submission no.)</th>
<th>Voting Change(s)</th>
<th>Action Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Webster Parish (94-3165)</td>
<td>Reduction in the size of the police jury members from 12 to 7</td>
<td>7/18/1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurisdiction (submission no.)</td>
<td>Voting Change(s)</td>
<td>Action Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport City Court (Bossier and Caddo Parishes) (95-2037 and 95-2716)</td>
<td>11 annexations</td>
<td>9/11/1995 (withdrawn 8-25-97 upon change in method of election)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport City Court (Bossier and Caddo Parishes) (95-3357)</td>
<td>Annexation (Ordinance No. 115 (1995))</td>
<td>12/11/1995 (withdrawn 8-25-97 upon change in method of election)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport City Court (Bossier and Caddo Parishes) (95-3357)</td>
<td>1996 Louisiana Congressional redistricting plan (Act No. 96 (1st Ex. Sess. (1996)))</td>
<td>8/12/1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport City Court (Bossier and Caddo Parishes) (95-3506)</td>
<td>Two annexations (Ordinance Nos. 205 and 206 (1995))</td>
<td>10/24/1996 (withdrawn 8-25-97 upon change in method of election)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport City Court (Bossier and Caddo Parishes) (96-4344)</td>
<td>Annexation (ordinance no. 207 (1995))</td>
<td>4/11/1997 (withdrawn 8-25-97 upon change in method of election)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shreveport City Court (Bossier and Caddo Parishes) (97-1091)</td>
<td>Three annexations (ordinance nos. 188, 189 and 192 (1996))</td>
<td>6/9/1997 (withdrawn 8-25-97 upon change in method of election)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Martinville (St. Martin Parish) (97-0879)</td>
<td>1997 redistricting plan (councilmanic)</td>
<td>10/6/1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State (97-2264)</td>
<td>Act No. 1420 (1997), designation of time period during which voting precinct boundaries cannot be changed</td>
<td>1/13/1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minden (Webster Parish) (2002-1011)</td>
<td>2001 council redistricting plan</td>
<td>7/2/2002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

1  Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2  Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3  This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Maine was covered by Section 5 at the time of the Shelby County decision. Eighteen towns were covered until 1976.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, none of Maine is covered under Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.

Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.

This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Maryland was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, one county in Maryland is required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.

Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.

This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Massachusetts was covered by Section 5 at the time of the Shelby County decision. Nine towns were covered until 1983.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, one city in Massachusetts is required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Asian community. Ten cities and one town are required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method By Which Case WasResolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence</td>
<td>United States v. City of Lawrence (D. Mass. 1998)</td>
<td>Consent Decrees</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election; redistricting plans</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method by Which Case WasResolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Language(s)</th>
<th>Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?</th>
<th>VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Worcester</td>
<td>Suit not filed Memorandum of Understanding (between the U.S. &amp; Mass.) (Sept. 22, 2008)</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Section 4(e)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.

Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.

This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.

Members of US Congress

- 1996: 16 White, 2 Black, 2 Hispanic, 15 Other
- 2008: 15 White, 2 Black, 2 Hispanic, 15 Other
- 2012: 15 White, 1 Black, 1 Hispanic, 1 Other

Statewide Office


State Legislature

- 1996: 131 White, 17 Black, 23 Hispanic, 22 Asian, 16 Native American
- 2008: 121 White, 22 Black, 23 Hispanic, 22 Asian
- 2012: 127 White, 16 Black, 23 Hispanic, 22 Asian, 16 Native American
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
Two townships in Michigan were covered by Section 5 at the time of the Shelby County decision.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, one city in Michigan is required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Asian community. One city and one township are required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method By Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction (submission no.)</th>
<th>Voting Change(s)</th>
<th>Action Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buena Vista Township (Saginaw Cty) (2007-3837)</td>
<td>Closure of a Secretary of State branch office, which offers voter registration services and provides Michigan drivers licenses and personal identification cards</td>
<td>12/26/2007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

**Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minority Category</th>
<th>2012 CVAP Registration</th>
<th>2012 CVAP Turnout</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White (non-Hispanic)</td>
<td>89.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American (alone)</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino (all races)</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian (alone)</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Numbers of Minority Elected Officials**

1. Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2. Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3. This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Minnesota was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage 2011 to Present Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, none of Minnesota is covered under Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

1 Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2 Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3 This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
The entire state of Mississippi was covered by Section 5 at the time of the Shelby County decision.

Coverage 2011 to Present Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, ten counties in Mississippi are required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the American Indian community.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method By Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attala County</td>
<td>Teague v. Attala County, 92 F. 3d 283 (5th Cir 1996), cert. denied 522 U.S. 807 (1997)</td>
<td>Court Decision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Redistricting plan</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calhoun City</td>
<td>Coffey v. Calhoun City, No. 3:00CV00103 (N.D. Miss. 2002)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calhoun County</td>
<td>Clark v. Calhoun County, 88 F. 3d 1393 (5th Cir 1996)</td>
<td>Court Decision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Redistricting plan</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chickasaw County</td>
<td>Gunn v. Chickasaw County, 1997 WL 33426761 (N.D. Miss. 1997)</td>
<td>Court Decision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Redistricting plan</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corinth</td>
<td>Copeland v. Corinth, No. 1:02CV00292 (N.D. Miss. 2003)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette County</td>
<td>Houston v. Lafayette County, 20 F. Supp. 2d 996 (N.D. Miss. 1998)</td>
<td>Court Decision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Redistricting plan</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merigold</td>
<td>Hogan v. Town of Merigold, No. 2:93CV00086 (N.D. Miss. 1995)</td>
<td>Consent Judgment</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noxubee County (Democratic Executive Committee)</td>
<td>United States v. Brown, 561 F.3d 420 (5th Cir 2009)</td>
<td>Court Decision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Voter intimidation</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quitman</td>
<td>Citizens for Good Government v. City of Quitman, 148 F. 3d 472 (5th Cir 1998)</td>
<td>Court Decision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starkville</td>
<td>Tryman v. City of Starkville (2003)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylorsville</td>
<td>Sanders v. Town of Taylorsville, No. 3:1992CV00711 (S.D. Miss. 1995)</td>
<td>Consent Judgment</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webb</td>
<td>Croft v. Town of Webb, 2:93CV00087 (N.D. Miss. 1995)</td>
<td>Consent Judgment</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webster County</td>
<td>Woodard v. Webster County, No. 3:91CV00093 (N.D. Miss. 1995)</td>
<td>Consent Judgment</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Redistricting plan</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction (submission no.)</th>
<th>Voting Change(s)</th>
<th>Action Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adams County (94-4463)</td>
<td>1994 redistricting plan (board of supervisors)</td>
<td>1/30/1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe County (95-0118)</td>
<td>1995 redistricting plan</td>
<td>3/20/1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chickasaw County (94-4316)</td>
<td>1994 redistricting plan</td>
<td>4/11/1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union County (95-1234)</td>
<td>1995 redistricting plan (board of supervisors)</td>
<td>6/20/1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenada (Grenada Cty) (96-3225)</td>
<td>Special referendum election</td>
<td>3/3/1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State (95-0418)</td>
<td>Administrative plan for implementation of National Voter Registration Act of 1993 (NVRA)</td>
<td>9/22/1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenada (Grenada Cty) (96-2219) (98-1588)</td>
<td>Annexation; cancellation of the election; redistricting plan</td>
<td>8/17/1998 (withdrawn 6-28-05 upon adoption of a remedial change)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McComb (Pike Cty) (97-3795)</td>
<td>Designation of the American Legion Hut as a polling place</td>
<td>6/28/1999 (withdrawn 9-20-99 upon redrawing the boundaries of the voting precinct the polling place would serve and establishing a new polling place for the affected minority voters)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amite County (2011-1660)</td>
<td>2011 redistricting plan for the board of supervisors and election commission districts.</td>
<td>10/4/2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Natchez (Adams Cty) (2011-5368)</td>
<td>2011 redistricting plan</td>
<td>4/30/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Clinton (Hinds Cty) (2012-3120)</td>
<td>Redistricting plan</td>
<td>12/3/2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mississippi: Section 5 Objections and District Court Preclearance Denials by County
From 1995 to 2013
With Percentage of the Non-White Voting Age Population

Map does not include three statewide objections.
Data Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2010
Redistricting Data (PL 94-171) Summary File and U.S.
Department of Justice
Cartography: Voting Rights Project, Lawyers’ Committee
for Civil Rights Under Law
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2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.

Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.

This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

Members of US Congress

Statewide Office

State Legislature

1 Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2 Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3 This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Missouri was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, none of Missouri is covered under Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials¹

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

¹ Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
² Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
³ This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Montana was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, none of Montana is covered under Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method By Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blaine County</td>
<td>United States v. Blaine County, 363 F. 3d 897 (9th Cir. 2004)</td>
<td>Court Decision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roosevelt County</td>
<td>United States v. Roosevelt County, No. 00-50 (D. Mont. Mar. 24, 2000)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Defendants admitted substantial basis in evidence for Section 2 violation</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosebud County</td>
<td>Alden v. Board of County Commissioners of Rosebud County, 1:99CV1:48 (D. Mont. 2000)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

1. Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2. Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3. This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Nebraska was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, three counties in Nebraska are required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method By Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thurston County</td>
<td>Stabler v. County of Thurston, 129 F. 3d 1015 (8th Cir 1997)</td>
<td>Court Decision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method by Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Language(s)</th>
<th>Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?</th>
<th>VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colfax County</td>
<td>United States v. Colfax County, No. 8:12CV84 (D. Neb. 2012)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Section 203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

- **White (non-Hispanic)**
- **Total minority**
- **African-American (alone)**
- **Hispanic/Latino (all races)**
- **Asian (alone)**

**Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)**

- **White (non-Hispanic):** 64.5%
- **African-American (alone):** 9.1%
- **Hispanic/Latino (all races):** 6.4%
- **Asian (alone):** 3.3%
- **Other:**

**Numbers of Minority Elected Officials**

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

- **Members of US Congress**
  - 1996: 4
  - 2008: 5
  - 2012: 5

- **Statewide Office**
  - 1996: 4
  - 2008: 3
  - 2012: 2

- **State Legislature**
  - 1996: 55
  - 2008: 50
  - 2012: 48

---

1. Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2. Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3. This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Nevada was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, one county in Nevada is required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Asian community. One county is required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

Members of US Congress

- 1996: 4
- 2008: 4
- 2012: 4

Statewide Office

- 1996: 1
- 2008: 1
- 2012: 1

State Legislature

- 1996: 420
- 2008: 416
- 2012: 416

---

1 Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2 Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3 This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of New Hampshire was covered by Section 5 at the time of the Shelby County decision. Ten towns were covered until March 2013.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, none of New Hampshire is covered under Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minority Group</th>
<th>2012 CVAP Registration</th>
<th>2012 CVAP Turnout</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White (non-Hispanic)</td>
<td>67.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American (alone)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino (all races)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian (alone)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

- **Members of US Congress**
  - 1996: 1 White, 1 African-American, 1 Hispanic/Latino, 1 Asian
  - 2008: 1 White, 2 African-American, 2 Hispanic/Latino, 2 Asian
  - 2012: 2 White, 2 African-American, 2 Hispanic/Latino, 2 Asian

- **Statewide Office**
  - 1996: 1
  - 2008: 1
  - 2012: 2

- **State Legislature**
  - 1996: 13 White, 3 Black, 0 Hispanic/Latino, 0 Asian, 0 Native American
  - 2008: 15 White, 0 Black, 7 Hispanic/Latino, 0 Asian, 0 Native American
  - 2012: 93 White, 9 Black, 0 Hispanic/Latino, 0 Asian, 0 Native American

---

1 Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2 Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3 This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of New Jersey was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, one county in New Jersey is required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Asian community. Eight counties are required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method by Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Language(s)</th>
<th>Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?</th>
<th>VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Passaic City &amp; Passaic County</td>
<td>United States. City of Passaic, County of Passaic, No. 99-2544 (D. N.J. 1999)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Sections 2, 203, &amp; 208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salem County &amp; Borough of Penns Grove</td>
<td>United States v. Salem County, No. 1:08CV3726 (D. N.J. 2008)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Sections 2, 4(e), &amp; 208</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

Members of US Congress

Statewide Office

State Legislature

---

Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.

Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.

This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of New Mexico was covered by Section 5 at the time of the Shelby County decision. Three counties were covered until 1976.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, ten counties in New Mexico are required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for one or more American Indian communities. Sixteen counties are required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case Description</th>
<th>Method by Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Language(s)</th>
<th>Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?</th>
<th>VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bernalillo County</td>
<td>United States v. Bernalillo County, No. CV-98-156 BB/LCS (D. N.M. 1998)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>Yes, and Section 3 preclearance also ordered</td>
<td>Sections 2 &amp; 203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.

Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.

This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
Five counties in New York were covered by Section 5 at the time of the Shelby County decision.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, three counties in New York are required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for one or more Asian communities. Seven counties are required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method by Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>NAACP New York State Conference v. New York State Board of Elections, No. 10CV2950 (E.D.N.Y. 2012)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Voting machines</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albany County</td>
<td>Arbor Hill Concerned Citizens Neighborhood Association v. City of Albany, 357 F.3d 260 (2d Cir. 2004)</td>
<td>Court Decision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Redistricting plan</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hempstead</td>
<td>Goosby v. Town Board of the Town of Hempstead, 180 F. 3d. 476 (2nd Cir 1999)</td>
<td>Court Decision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method by Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Language(s)</th>
<th>Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?</th>
<th>VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subjurisdiction</td>
<td>Case</td>
<td>Method by Which Case Was Resolved</td>
<td>Was a Violation Found?</td>
<td>Language(s)</td>
<td>Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?</td>
<td>VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York City (Kings, New York, &amp; Queens Counties)</td>
<td>Chinatown Voter Education Alliance v. Ravitz, No. 6-cv-613 (S.D. N.Y. 2007)</td>
<td>Settlement (out of court)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Chinese, Korean</td>
<td>No (Kings &amp; New York Counties were eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)</td>
<td>Sections 203 and 208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York City (Queens County)</td>
<td>Alliance of South Asian American Labor v. Board of Elections, No. 1:13-cv-03732 (E.D.NY. 2014)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Bengali</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Section 203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange County</td>
<td>United States v. Orange County, No. 12-cv-3071 (S.D. N.Y. 2012)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Section 4(e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suffolk County</td>
<td>United States v. Suffolk County, No. 04-2698 (E.D. N.Y. 2004)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Section 203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westchester County</td>
<td>United States v. Westchester County, No. 05-CV-0650 (CM) (S.D. N.Y., 2005 &amp; 2008)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Section 203 &amp; HAVA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials ofPreclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction (submission no.)</th>
<th>Voting Change(s)</th>
<th>Action Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New York City Community School District 12 (Bronx Cty) (96-3759)</td>
<td>Temporary replacement of all nine board members with three appointed trustees and the permanent replacement of all nine board members with five appointed trustees</td>
<td>11/15/1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York (Bronx, Kings, and New York Cty's) (96-3193)</td>
<td>Change in method of election from single transferable vote to limited voting with four votes per voter</td>
<td>2/4/1999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

- 2012 CVAP Registration
- 2012 CVAP Turnout

Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

- White (non-Hispanic): 71.8%
- African-American (alone): 22.4%
- Hispanic/Latino (all races): 2.5%
- Asian (alone): 2.5%
- Other: 0.8%

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

1. Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2. Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3. This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
At the time of the Shelby County decision, forty counties in North Carolina, with the exception of one city (which was bailed out in 2010), were covered by Section 5. An additional county was covered until 1967.

Coverage 2011 to Present Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, none of North Carolina is covered under Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method By Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mount Olive</td>
<td>Fussell v. Town of Mount Olive, No 5:93CV00303 (E.D. N.C. 1995)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington County</td>
<td>Wilkins v. Washington County, No. 2:93CV00012 (N.D. N.C.1995)</td>
<td>Consent Judgment</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction (submission no.)</th>
<th>Voting Change(s)</th>
<th>Action Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State (95-2922)</td>
<td>Chapter 355 (1995)—prohibits state legislative and congressional district boundaries from crossing voting precinct lines unless the districts are found in violation of Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act</td>
<td>2/13/1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp Butner Reservation (Granville Cty) (96-3224)</td>
<td>At-large method of election and staggered terms</td>
<td>2/3/1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harnett County (2001-3768)</td>
<td>2001 redistricting plan (board of commissioners)</td>
<td>7/23/2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitt County School District (2011-2474)</td>
<td>Method of election from twelve single-member districts to seven</td>
<td>4/30/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurisdiction (submission no.)</td>
<td>Voting Change(s)</td>
<td>Action Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charleston County School District (2003-2066)</td>
<td>Method of electing the board of trustees from non-partisan to partisan elections</td>
<td>2/26/2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfield County School District (2010-0970)</td>
<td>Act Number R136, (4432)(2010), providing for number of officials and method of election</td>
<td>8/16/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State (objection - 2011-2495; DJ subsequently denied in part &amp; granted in part)</td>
<td>Act Number R54, requiring presentation of a photographic identification to cast a ballot</td>
<td>12/23/2011 (objection); 10/10/2012 (DJ decision), South Carolina v. United States, 898 F. Supp. 2d 30 (D.D.C.) (DJ denied for implementation of voting change in the 2012 general election; DJ granted for implementation in subsequent elections)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
North Carolina: Section 5 Objections and District Court Preclearance Denials by County
From 1995 to 2013
With Percentage of the Non-White Voting Age Population

% Non-White Voting Age Population
- 0% to 10%
- 10.1% to 20%
- 20.1% to 40%
- 40.1% to 60%
- 60.1% to 100%

Map does not include one statewide objection.
Data Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2010 Redistricting Data (PL 94-171) Summary File and U.S. Department of Justice Cartography: Voting Rights Project, Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights Under Law
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2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

**Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)**

- **White (non-Hispanic)**: 88.3%
- **African-American (alone)**: 0.8%
- **Hispanic/Latino (all races)**: 2.7%
- **Asian (alone)**: 0.2%
- **Other**: 0.2%

**Numbers of Minority Elected Officials**

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

**Members of US Congress**

- 1996: 3
- 2008: 3
- 2012: 3

**Statewide Office**

- 1996: 4
- 2008: 4
- 2012: 4

**State Legislature**

- 1996: 147
- 2008: 141
- 2012: 140

---

1. Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2. Data on Native American elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3. This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of North Dakota was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, none of North Dakota is covered under Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method By Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benson County</td>
<td>United States v. Benson County, No. A2-00-30 (D. N.D. Mar. 10, 2000)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
**2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)**

- **White (non-Hispanic)**
- **Total minority**
- **African-American (alone)**
- **Hispanic/Latino (all races)**
- **Asian (alone)**

**Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)**

- **White (non-Hispanic)**: 115.4%
- **African-American (alone)**: 11.4%
- **Hispanic/Latino (all races)**: 0.7%
- **Asian (alone)**: 0.9%
- **Other**: 2.0%

**Numbers of Minority Elected Officials**

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

**Members of US Congress**

- **1996**: 20
- **2008**: 19
- **2012**: 15

**Statewide Office**

- **1996**: 4
- **2008**: 4
- **2012**: 4

**State Legislature**

- **1996**: 113
- **2008**: 115
- **2012**: 112

---

1. Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2. Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3. This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Ohio was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, none of Ohio is covered under Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014
(adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method by Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method by Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Language(s)</th>
<th>Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?</th>
<th>VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cuyahoga County</td>
<td>United States v. Cuyahoga County, No. 1:10CV1949 (N.D. Ohio 2010)</td>
<td>Agreement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Section 4(e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorain County</td>
<td>United States v. Lorain County, No. 1:11CV2122 (N.D. Ohio 2011)</td>
<td>Memorandum of Agreement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Section 4(e)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

1. Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2. Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3. This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Oklahoma was covered by Section 5 at the time of the Shelby County decision. Choctaw and McCurtain Counties were covered until 1978.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, none of Oklahoma is covered under Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

Members of US Congress

Statewide Office

State Legislature

1 Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.

2 Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.

3 This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Oregon was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, none of Oregon is covered under Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

Members of US Congress

Statewide Office

State Legislature

---

1 Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2 Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3 This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Pennsylvania was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, three counties in Pennsylvania are required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method by Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chester County</td>
<td>English v. Chester County, No. 2:10CV244 (E.D. Pa. 2010)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Polling place</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method by Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Language(s) Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?</th>
<th>VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Philadelphia
United States v. City of Philadelphia, No. 06-4592 (E.D. Pa. 2007) | Settlement (not filed with court) | No | Spanish | DOJ may request federal monitors for particular elections | Sections 2, 4(e), 203, & 208, HAVA, & NVRA |

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.

Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.

This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Rhode Island was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage 2011 to Present Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, three cities in Rhode Island are required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method By Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Metts v. Almond, No. 1:02CV204 (D. R.I. 2004)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Redistricting plan for state senate</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

1. Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2. Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3. This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
The entire state of South Carolina was covered by Section 5 at the time of the Shelby County decision.

Coverage 2011 to Present Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, none of South Carolina is covered under Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method By Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charleston County</td>
<td>United States v. Charleston County, 365 F.3d 341 (4th Cir. 2004), cert. denied 543 U.S. 999 (2004)</td>
<td>Court Decision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgetown County School District</td>
<td>United States v. Georgetown County School District, No. 2:08-889 (D. S.C. 2008)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Defendants admitted strong likelihood of Section 2 violation</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York County School District</td>
<td>Love v. York County School District No. 1 (2000)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction (submission no.)</th>
<th>Voting Change(s)</th>
<th>Action Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bennettsville (Marlboro Cty)  (94-2216)</td>
<td>1994 redistricting plan</td>
<td>2/6/1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spartanburg County School District (Spartanburg Cty) (95-1979)</td>
<td>Section 19.67 of the 1995-96 State Government Appropriations Act which defunded the school district</td>
<td>11/20/1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaffney Board of Public Works (Cherokee Cty) (95-2790)</td>
<td>Re-adoption of the at-large method of election</td>
<td>3/5/1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State (97-0529)</td>
<td>1997 redistricting plan (Senate)</td>
<td>4/1/1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horry County (97-3787)</td>
<td>1997 redistricting plan</td>
<td>5/20/1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charleston (Berkeley and Charleston Cty's) (2001-1578)</td>
<td>2001 redistricting plan</td>
<td>10/12/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greer (Greenville and Spartanburg Cty's) (2001-1777)</td>
<td>2001 redistricting plan</td>
<td>11/2/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sumter County (2001-3865)</td>
<td>2001 redistricting plan</td>
<td>6/27/2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherokee County School District No. 1 (Cherokee Cty) (2002-3457)</td>
<td>Reduction in the size of the school board</td>
<td>6/16/2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North (Orangeburg Cty) (2002-5306)</td>
<td>Annexations</td>
<td>9/18/2003 (withdrawn 12-3-10 upon adoption of remedial change)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
South Carolina: Section 5 Objections and District Court Preclearance Denials by County
From 1995 to 2013
With Percentage of the Non-White Voting Age Population

Map does not include one statewide objection and one district court statewide preclearance denial. Objections involving multiple counties are attributed to all affected counties.
Data Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2010 Redistricting Data (PL 94-171) Summary File and U.S. Department of Justice
Cartography: Voting Rights Project, Lawyers’ Committee for Civil Rights Under Law
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2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

- **2012 CVAP Registration**
- **2012 CVAP Turnout**

### Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Registration</th>
<th>Turnout</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White (non-Hispanic)</td>
<td>86.7%</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total minority</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian (alone)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Numbers of Minority Elected Officials**

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Members of US Congress</th>
<th>Statewide Office</th>
<th>State Legislature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2. Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3. This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
Two counties in South Dakota were covered by Section 5 at the time of the Shelby County decision.

Coverage 2011 to Present Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, none of South Dakota is covered under Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method By Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Bone Shirt v. Hazeltine, 481 F.3d 1011 (8th Cir. 2006)</td>
<td>Court Decision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Redistricting plan for state legislature</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffalo County</td>
<td>Kirkie v. Buffalo County, No. 3:03CV3011 (D. S.D. 2004)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Redistricting plan</td>
<td>Preclearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Mix County</td>
<td>Blackmoon v. Charles Mix County, No. 4:05-CV-04017 (D. S.D. 2007)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Redistricting plan</td>
<td>Preclearance &amp; federal observers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day County</td>
<td>United States v. Day County and Enemy Swim Sanitary District, No. 1:99CV1024 (D. S.D. 2000)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Qualifications to vote</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shannon County</td>
<td>Brooks v. Gant, 2013 WL 4017036 (D. S.D. 2013)</td>
<td>Resolved by defendants’ post-litigation filing action</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Early voting</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method by Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Language(s)</th>
<th>Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?</th>
<th>VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shannon County</td>
<td>Suit not filed</td>
<td>Memo-randum of Agreement (Apr. 23, 2012)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Lakota</td>
<td>No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)</td>
<td>Sections 4(f)(4) &amp; 203, &amp; HAVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurisdiction (submission no.)</td>
<td>Voting Change(s)</td>
<td>Action Date</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shannon and Todd Counties (94-4477)</td>
<td>S.B. No. 17, Chapter 107 (1994), providing for voter removal procedures insofar as they incorporate the failure to vote within a four-year period as a trigger for mailing a registration confirmation notice</td>
<td>6-19-95 (withdrawn 11-5-96)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Mix County (2007-6012)</td>
<td>Referendum on the increase of county commissioners from three to five members and the 2007 redistricting plan</td>
<td>2/11/2008 (Section 3 objection)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

1 Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2 Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3 This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Tennessee was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage 2011 to Present Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, none of Tennessee is covered under Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014
(adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method By Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bolivar</td>
<td>Hardeman County Branch of the NAACP v. City of Bolivar, 03-1041 (W.D. Tenn. Dec. 9, 2003)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crockett County</td>
<td>United States v. Crockett County, no. 1-01-1129 (W.D. Tenn. 2001)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Defendants admitted strong basis in fact &amp; law for Section 2 violation</td>
<td>Redistricting plan</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

1 Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2 Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3 This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
The entire state of Texas, with the exception of two jurisdictions (which were bailed out in 2009 and 2011), was covered by Section 5 at the time of the Shelby County decision.

Coverage 2011 to Present Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, the state of Texas is required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community. In addition, 90 counties are separately required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community. Two counties in Texas are required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the American Indian community, and one county is required to provide minority language assistance for one or more Asian communities.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method By Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>LULAC v. Perry, 548 U.S. 399 (2006)</td>
<td>Court Decision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Congressional redistricting plan</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abernathy</td>
<td>LULAC v. City of Abernathy, No. 5:94-cv-00083-C (N.D. Tex. 1995)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amarillo I.S.D.</td>
<td>Reynoso v. Amarillo I.S.D., No. 2:98CV00186 (N.D. Tex. 1999)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amherst I.S.D.</td>
<td>Hines v. Amherst I.S.D., No. 5:94CV00120 (N.D. Tex. 1995)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrews</td>
<td>LULAC, District 5 v. City of Andrews, No. 7:93CV00075 (W.D. Tex. 1996)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anton I.S.D.</td>
<td>Rendon v. Anton I.S.D., No. 5:94CV00028 (N.D. Tex. 1996)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballinger</td>
<td>LULAC v. City of Ballinger, No. 6:95-cv-00018-C (N.D. Tex. 1995)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballinger I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v Ballinger I.S.D., No. 6:95-cv-0016-C (N.D. Tex. 1995)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big Spring I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v Big Spring I.S.D., No. 1:96-cv-0027-C (N.D. Tex. 1996)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boerne</td>
<td>LULAC v. City of Boerne, No. 5:96-cv-00808-XR (W.D. Tex. 2012)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Mason</td>
<td>LULAC v. City of Mason, No. 1:96CV00607 (W.D. Tex. 1997)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dawson I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. Dawson I.S.D., No. 5:95CV00063 (N.D. Tex. 1996)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Case Details</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Method of Election</td>
<td>Section Coverage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devine I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. Devine I.S.D., No. 5:95cv-01158-OLG (W.D. Tex. 1997)</td>
<td>Consent Judgment</td>
<td>At-large method</td>
<td>Covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D’Hanis I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. D’Hanis I.S.D., No. 5:95CV00524 (W.D. Tex. 1996)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>At-large method</td>
<td>Covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dumas I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. Dumas I.S.D., No. 2:93CV154 (N.D. Tex. 1996)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>At-large method</td>
<td>Covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Central I.S.D.</td>
<td>Reyna v. East Central I.S.D., No. 5:98CV00433 (W.D. Tex. 1999)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>At-large method</td>
<td>Covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eden</td>
<td>LULAC v. City of Eden, 6:97CV00108 (N.D. Tex. filed 1998)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>At-large method</td>
<td>Covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eldorado</td>
<td>LULAC v. City of Eldorado (N.D. Tex. 1996)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>At-large method</td>
<td>Covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elgin I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. Elgin I.S.D., No. 3:95CV00458 (W.D. Tex. 1998)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>At-large method</td>
<td>Covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmers Branch</td>
<td>Fabela v. City of Farmers Branch, 2012 WL 3135545 (N.D. Tex.2012)</td>
<td>Court Decision</td>
<td>At-large method</td>
<td>Covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Davis I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. Fort Davis I.S.D., No. P-95-ca-49 (W.D. Tex. filed in 1998)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>At-large method</td>
<td>Covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garza County Hospital District</td>
<td>Tobias v. Garza County Hospital District, No. 5:00-cv-00293-C (N.D. Tex. 2001)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>At-large method</td>
<td>Covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garza County Hospital District</td>
<td>LULAC v. Garza County Hospital District, No. 5:96-CV-087-C (N.D. Tex. 1997)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>At-large method</td>
<td>Covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George West I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. George West I.S.D., No. 2:95CV00546 (G.D. Tex. 1996)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>At-large method</td>
<td>Covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goliad I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. Goliad I.S.D., No. 6:95CV00058 (S.D. Tex. 1996)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>At-large method</td>
<td>Covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harlandale I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. Harlandale I.S.D., No. 98CV966 (W.D. Tex. 2000)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>At-large method</td>
<td>Covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereford I.S.D.</td>
<td>Gamez v. Hereford I.S.D., No. 2:95CV028 (N.D. Tex.)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>At-large method</td>
<td>Covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irion County I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. Irion County I.S.D., No. 6:95CV00079 (N.D. Tex. 1996)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>At-large method</td>
<td>Covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irion County I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. Irion County I.S.D., No. 6:95CV00079 (N.D. Tex. 1996)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>At-large method</td>
<td>Covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Case Name</td>
<td>Decision Type</td>
<td>At-Large Method of Election</td>
<td>Section 4 Coverage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irving</td>
<td>Benavidez v. City of Irving, 638 F.Supp. 2d 709 (N.D. Tex. 2009)</td>
<td>Court Decision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jourdanton</td>
<td>LULAC v. City of Jourdanton, No. 5:95CV01113 (W.D. Tex. filed 1995)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jourdanton I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. Jourdanton I.S.D., No. SA-95-CA-0490 (W.D. Tex.)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judson I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. Judson I.S.D., No. 5:96CV00878 (W.D. Tex. 1997)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karnes City</td>
<td>LULAC v. City of Karnes City, No. 5:95CV00203 (W.D. Tex. filed 1995)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karnes City I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. Karnes City I.S.D., No. 95-CA-204 (W.D. Tex 1995)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenedy</td>
<td>LULAC v. City of Kenedy, 5:95CV00417 (W.D. Tex. filed 1995)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenedy I.S.D.</td>
<td>Hines v. Kenedy I.S.D., No. SA-95-ca-219 (filed in 1995)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lancaster</td>
<td>Fowler v. Lancaster I.S.D., No. 3:93CV01800-R (N.D. Tex. 1995)</td>
<td>Agreed Order</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lone Star College System</td>
<td>Hubbard v. Lone Star College System, No. 4:13-cv-1635 (S.D. Tex. 2013)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Defendant admitted a basis in law &amp; fact for Section 2 violation</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynn</td>
<td>Moya v. City of Tahoka, No. 5:93-cv-00293-C (N.D. Tex. 1994)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynn County Hospital District</td>
<td>Sanchez v. Lynn County Hospital District, No. 5:95CV00062 (N.D. Tex. 1996)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lytle</td>
<td>LULAC v. City of Lytle, No. 5:95CV000857 (W.D. Tex. 1997)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lytle I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. Lytle I.S.D., No. 95CV857 (W.D. Tex. 1995)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason</td>
<td>LULAC v. City of Mason, No. 1:1996CV00607 (W.D. Tex. 1997 )</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lytle I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. Lytle I.S.D., No. 95CV857 (W.D. Tex. 1995)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Case</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>Method of Election</td>
<td>Section 4 Compliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason</td>
<td>LULAC v. City of Mason, No. 1:1996CV00607 (W.D. Tex. 1997)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menard</td>
<td>LULAC v. City of Menard, 6:96CV00003 (N.D. Tex. 1996)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menard I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. Menard I.S.D., No. 6:1995CV00024 (N.D. Tex. 1995)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midland County Commissioners Court</td>
<td>Marmelejo v. Midland County, No. MO-95-cv-79 (W.D. Tex. 1996)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Redistricting plan</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miles</td>
<td>LULAC v. City of Miles (N.D. Tex. 1997)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miles I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. Miles I.S.D., No.6:1995-cv-00043 (N.D. Tex. 1997)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morton</td>
<td>LULAC v. City of Morton, No. 5:93-cv-148-C (N.D. Tex. 1995)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navarro College</td>
<td>Scott v. Navarro College, No. 3:94CV00156 (N.D. Tex. 1995)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Braunfels, Texas</td>
<td>Herrera v. City of New Braunfels, No. 5:95-cv-00390-OLG (W.D. Tex. 1995)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nueces Canyon Consol. I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. Nueces Canyon C.I.S.D.,</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olton</td>
<td>McClatchy v. City of Olton, No. 5:94CV00121 (N.D. Tex. 1995)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olton I.S.D.</td>
<td>McClatchy v. Olton I.S.D., No. 5:94-cv-00119-C (N.D. Tex. 1995)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pettus I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. Pettus I.S.D., No. 2:95CV00545 (S.D. Tex. 1996)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Name</td>
<td>Case Name</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>Race/Type of Election</td>
<td>Covered Under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleasanton I.S.D.</td>
<td>Trevino v. Pleasanton I.S.D., No. 5:95CV00466 (W.D. Tex. 1996)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. Post I.S.D., No. 5:96CV00080 (N.D. Tex. 1997)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poth I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. City of Poth, 5:95-cv-0960 (W.D. Tex. 1996)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poth I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. Poth I.S.D., No. 5:95CV00523 (W.D. Tex. 1996)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ropes I.S.D.</td>
<td>Perez v. Ropes I.S.D., No. 5:94-cv-00156-C (N.D. Tex. 1996)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotan I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. City of Rotan, No. 1:94-cv-00042-C (N.D. Tex. 1995)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runge I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. Runge I.S.D., No. 5:95CV00220 (W.D. Tex. filed 1995)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanton I.S.D.</td>
<td>Singleterry v. City of Stanton, No. 7:94CV00089 (W.D. Tex. 1995)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockdale I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. City of Stockdale, No. 5:95CV00691 (W.D. Tex. filed 1995)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockdale I.S.D.</td>
<td>LULAC v. Stockdale I.S.D., No. 5:95CV00508 (W.D. Tex. 1996)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan I.S.D.</td>
<td>Davila v. Sudan I.S.D., No. 5:994CV00082 (N.D. Tex. 1997)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sundown I.S.D.</td>
<td>Salas v. Sundown I.S.D., No. 5:94-cv-081-C (1994)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terry County Memorial Hospital District</td>
<td>Pauda v. Terry County Memorial Hospital District, No. 5:96-cv-0203-C (N.D. Tex. 1997)</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>Was covered under Section 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method by Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Language(s)</th>
<th>Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?</th>
<th>VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Brazos County</strong></td>
<td>United States v. Brazos County, No. 06-2165 (S.D. Tex. 2006)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)</td>
<td>Sections 4(f)(4) &amp; 208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Earth</strong></td>
<td>United States v. City of Earth, No. 5:7CV144 (N.D. Tex. 2007)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)</td>
<td>Section 203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ector County</strong></td>
<td>United States v. Ector County, No. M005CV131 (W.D. Tex. 2005)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)</td>
<td>Section 4(f)(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fort Bend County</strong></td>
<td>United States v. Fort Bend County, No. 4:9CV1058 (S.D. Tex. 2009)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)</td>
<td>Sections 4(f)(4) &amp; 208 &amp; HAVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Galveston County</strong></td>
<td>United States v. Galveston County, No. 3:7CV377 (S.D. Tex. 2007)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)</td>
<td>Section 4(f)(4) &amp; HAVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hale County</strong></td>
<td>United States v. Hale County, No. 5:05CV43 (N.D. Tex. 2006)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)</td>
<td>Sections 203 &amp; 208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Littlefield Independent School District</strong></td>
<td>United States v. Littlefield ISD, No. 5:7CV145 (N.D. Tex. 2007)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)</td>
<td>Section 203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Post Independent School District</strong></td>
<td>United States v. Post ISD, No. 5:7CV146 (N.D. Tex. 2007)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)</td>
<td>Section 203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seagraves Independent School District</strong></td>
<td>United States v. Smyer ISD, No. 5:7CV146 (N.D. Tex. 2007)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)</td>
<td>Section 203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smyer Independent School District</strong></td>
<td>United States v. Seagraves ISD, No. 5:7CV147 (N.D. Tex. 2007)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)</td>
<td>Section 203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurisdiction (submission no.)</td>
<td>Voting Change(s)</td>
<td>Action Date</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State (94-4077)</td>
<td>Spanish language versions of registration forms and instructions</td>
<td>2/17/1995</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edwards Underground Water District (Bexar, Hays, and Comal Cty's) (94-3902)</td>
<td>Temporary use of punch card ballots and the procedures relating thereto</td>
<td>3/2/1995</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrews (Andrews Cty) (94-2271)</td>
<td>Method of election from at-large by majority vote with numbered posts, staggered terms, and a 2-2-1 method of staggering to cumulative voting by plurality vote with numbered posts, staggered terms, and a 3-2 method of staggering</td>
<td>6-26-95; DJ granted by consent, 1-29-96, City of Andrews v. Reno (D.D.C.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State (95-2017)</td>
<td>Chapter 797 (1995), authorizing agency employees to make determinations of an individual’s eligibility to register based on citizenship information contained in the agency's file</td>
<td>1/16/1996</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webster (Harris Cty) (96-1006)</td>
<td>Annexation (ordinance no. 95-33)</td>
<td>3-17-97 (withdrawn 4-7-98 upon adoption of a remedial change)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State (98-1365)</td>
<td>Procedure for filling prospective judicial vacancies</td>
<td>9-29-98 (withdrawn 10-21-98)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galveston (Galveston Cty) (98-2149)</td>
<td>Method of election to four single-member districts and two at-large seats, the adoption of numbered posts for the at-large seats, the adoption of a majority vote requirement for the election of city officers, and the proposed redistricting criteria</td>
<td>12-14-98 (partially withdrawn 02-04-02)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamesa (Dawson Cty) (99-0270)</td>
<td>Deannexation by referendum of the property annexed under ordinance no. 0-06-98</td>
<td>7/16/1999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State (2001-2430)</td>
<td>Redistricting plan (House)</td>
<td>11/16/2001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waller County (2001-3951)</td>
<td>2001 redistricting plan (for commissioners court, justices of the peace, and constables)</td>
<td>6/21/2002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeport (Brazoria Cty) (2002-1725)</td>
<td>Method of electing city council members</td>
<td>8/12/2002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonzales County (2009-3078)</td>
<td>Bilingual election procedures</td>
<td>3/12/2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runnels County (2009-3672)</td>
<td>Bilingual election procedures</td>
<td>6/28/2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nueces County (2011-3992)</td>
<td>Redistricting plan for the commissioners court</td>
<td>2/7/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galveston County (2011-4317 and 4374)</td>
<td>Redistricting plan for the commissioners court; reduction in the number of justice of the peace and constable precincts, and a redistricting plan for those offices</td>
<td>3/5/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurisdiction (submission no.)</td>
<td>Voting Change(s)</td>
<td>Action Date</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaumont Independent School District (Jefferson Cty) (2012-4278)</td>
<td>Method of election from seven single-member districts to five single-member districts</td>
<td>12/21/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaumont Independent School District (Jefferson Cty) (2013-0895)</td>
<td>Term of office; general election date; qualification procedures; and implementation of qualification procedures at May 2013 election</td>
<td>4/8/2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Texas: Section 5 Objections and District Court Preclearance Denials by County
From 1995 to 2013
With Percentage of the Non-White Voting Age Population

Map does not include four statewide objections and two district court statewide preclearance denials. Objections involving multiple counties are attributed to all affected counties.

Data Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2010 Redistricting Data (PL 94-171) Summary File and U.S. Department of Justice
Cartography: Voting Rights Project, Lawyers’ Committee for Civil Rights Under Law
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2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

- **2012 CVAP Registration**
- **2012 CVAP Turnout**

**Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)**

- **White (non-Hispanic)**: 86.2%
- **African-American (alone)**: 1.0%
- **Hispanic/Latino (all races)**: 8.4%
- **Asian (alone)**: 2.3%
- **Other**: 2.1%

**Numbers of Minority Elected Officials**

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

**Members of US Congress**

- 1996: 5
- 2008: 5
- 2012: 6

**Statewide Office**

- 1996: 3
- 2008: 3
- 2012: 3

**State Legislature**

- 1996: 101
- 2008: 99
- 2012: 100

1. Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2. Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3. This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Utah was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, one county in Utah is required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the American Indian community. One county in Utah is required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preemption (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials¹
With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

¹ Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
² Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
³ This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Vermont was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, none of Vermont is covered under Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Members of US Congress

- 1996: 1 African-American
- 2008: 1 African-American
- 2012: 1 African-American

Statewide Office

- 1996: 3
- 2008: 3
- 2012: 3

State Legislature

- 1996: 15
- 2008: 17
- 2012: 18

Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

- White (non-Hispanic): 72.9%
- African-American (alone): 18.8%
- Hispanic/Latino (all races): 4.0%
- Asian (alone): 1.6%
- Other: 1.6%

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

1 Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2 Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3 This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
At the time of the Shelby County decision, the entire state of Virginia, with the exception of 24 counties and 8 cities (which were bailed out between 1997 and 2013), was covered by Section 5.

Coverage 2011 to Present Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, one county in Virginia is required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction (submission no.)</th>
<th>Voting Change(s)</th>
<th>Action Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dinwiddie County (99-2229)</td>
<td>Polling place</td>
<td>10/27/1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northampton County (2001-1495)</td>
<td>Method of electing the board of supervisors from six single-member districts to three double-member districts and the 2001 redistricting plan for the board of supervisors</td>
<td>9/28/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumberland County (2001-2374)</td>
<td>2001 redistricting plan for the board of supervisors</td>
<td>7/9/2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northampton County (2002-5693)</td>
<td>2002 redistricting plan for the board of supervisors</td>
<td>5/19/2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northampton County (2003-3010)</td>
<td>Redistricting plan for the board of supervisors</td>
<td>10/21/2003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Virginia: Section 5 Objections and District Court Preclearance Denials by County

From 1995 to 2013

With Percentage of the Non-White Voting Age Population

Data Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2010
Redistricting Data (PL 94-171) Summary File and U.S. Department of Justice Cartography: Voting Rights Project, Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights Under Law
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2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

- **2012 CVAP Registration**
- **2012 CVAP Turnout**

### Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

- **White (non-Hispanic):** 79.2%
- **African-American (alone):** 3.1%
- **Hispanic/Latino (all races):** 6.2%
- **Asian (alone):** 6.2%
- **Other:**

### Numbers of Minority Elected Officials

With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

#### Members of US Congress
- **1996:** 11
- **2008:** 10
- **2012:** 11

#### Statewide Office
- **1996:** 4
- **2008:** 3
- **2012:** 4

#### State Legislature
- **1996:** 144
- **2008:** 139
- **2012:** 135

---

1. Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2. Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3. This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Washington was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, one county in Washington is required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for one or more Asian communities. Three counties are required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method by Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Language(s)</th>
<th>Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?</th>
<th>VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yakima County</td>
<td>United States v. Yakima County, No. CV-04-3072-LRS (E.D. Wash. 2004)</td>
<td>Consent Decree</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Section 203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
2012 Registration and Turnout Rates among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials¹
With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

Members of US Congress

Statewide Office³

State Legislature

¹ Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
² Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
³ This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of West Virginia was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, none of West Virginia is covered under Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.

Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.

This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act

None of Wisconsin was previously covered under Section 5.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act

Currently, one city in Wisconsin is required by Section 203 to provide minority language assistance for the Hispanic community.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method By Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014

None.
With the exception of 2008 data on Asian elected officials, these figures represent the results from the November election in the given year.

**Numbers of Minority Elected Officials**

1. Data on Native American elected officials is only available for 2012 state legislatures.
2. Data on Asian elected officials is unavailable for 1996.
3. This category is limited to Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and Secretary of State.
Prior Coverage Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
None of Wyoming was covered by Section 5 at the time of the Shelby County decision. Campbell County was covered until 1982.

Coverage Under Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act
Currently, none of Wyoming is covered under Section 203.

Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjurisdiction</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Method By Which Case Was Resolved</th>
<th>Was a Violation Found?</th>
<th>Challenged Voting Practice</th>
<th>Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fremont County</td>
<td>Large v. Fremont County, 670 F.3d 1133 (10th Cir. 2012)</td>
<td>Court Decision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At-large method of election</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled)
None.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014
None.
VOTING LANDSCAPE: A STATE-BY-STATE OVERVIEW

Sources:

2012 Registration and Turnout Rates Among Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP); Total 2012 Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP)

*The U.S. Census Bureau tabulates race and ethnicity separately. Consequently, categories for race and ethnicity do not equal 100%. Calculations presented here tabulate Hispanic/Latino respondents with 1 or more races as Hispanic/Latino only and do not additionally classify them by race.

*American Indian/Alaska Native voting and registration data by state are not tabulated by the U.S. Census Bureau at date of publication, citing small sample sizes in the November 2012 Population Survey.

Numbers of Minority Elected Officials*
Joint Center for Political and Economic Studies Elected Officials database


NALEO Educational Fund, Los Angeles, CA, National Directory of Latino Elected Officials

National Caucus of Native American State Legislators, 2014


Section 2 Cases (not including language assistance cases), 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled): Compilation of cases prepared by Lawyers’ Committee for Civil Rights Under Law

Language Assistance Cases, 1995 to June 2014 (adjudicated in favor of plaintiffs or settled): Compilation of cases prepared by Lawyers’ Committee for Civil Rights Under Law.

Department of Justice Objections and Court Denials of Preclearance (Sections 5 and 3 of the Voting Rights Act), 1995 to June 2014

Note: The list of preclearance decisions could change due to new objections to a jurisdiction covered under Section 3.

Section 5 Objections Maps:

* American Indian/Alaska Native Officials – No data available.