

VOTING RIGHTS LITIGATION BY STATE

Section 2 Cases

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method By Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Challenged Voting Practice	Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?	Affected Group
Alabama						
Evergreen	Allen v. Evergreen, No. 13-0107 (S.D. Ala. 2014)	Court Decision	Yes (constitutional)	Redistricting plan	Preclearance (post-Shelby County order)	AA
Greene County Democratic Executive Committee	Kennard v. Greene County Democratic Committee, No. 7:94CV02952 (N.D. Ala. 1996)	Settlement	Unknown	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	AA
Arizona						
State	ITCA & Navajo Nation v. Brewer, Nos. 06-1362, 06-1575 (D. Ariz. May 27, 2008) (consolidated cases)	Settlement	No	Voter identification for in-person voting (allowable identification for Indian tribal members)	Was covered under Section 4	NA
Arkansas						
Blytheville School District	Harvell v. Blytheville School District, 71 F. 3d 1382 (8th Cir 1995)(en banc), cert. denied 517 U.S. 1233 (1996)	Court Decision	Yes	Majority-vote requirement in at-large method of election	No	AA
Chicot County	Cox v. Donaldson, No. 5:02CV319 (E.D. Ark. 2003)	Settlement	No	Election schedule for School Board positions	No	AA

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method By Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Challenged Voting Practice	Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?	Affected Group
California						
State	Common Cause v. Jones, 2002 WL 1766436 (C.D. Cal. 2002)	Court Decision (implementing settlement)	No	Punch-card voting	No	AA, H, Asian
California						
City of Los Angeles	Garcia v. City of Los Angeles, No. 2:96CV7661 (C.D. Cal. 1997)	Court Decision	Yes	At-large method of election (charter commission)	No	AA, H, Asian
Santa Paula	United States v. City of Santa Paula, No. cv-00-03691-GHK (C.D. Cal. 2001)	Settlement	Stipulation established significant elements of Section 2 violation	At-large method of election	No	H
Upper San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District	United States v. Upper San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District, No. 00-07903 (C.D. Cal. 2003)	Stipulation and Order	No	Redistricting plan	No	H
Colorado						
State	Sanchez v. Colorado, 97 F.3d 1303 (10th Cir 1996)	Court Decision	Yes	Redistricting plan for State House	No	H
Montezuma-Cortez Colorado School District	Cuthair v. Montezuma-Cortez Colorado School District, 7 F. Supp. 2d 1152 (D. Colo. 1998)	Court Decision	Yes	At-large method of election	Preclearance	NA
Florida						
State	Arcia v. Detzner, No. 1:12CV22282 (S.D. Fla. 2012)	Stipulation	No	Voter purge	No	AA, H

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method By Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Challenged Voting Practice	Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?	Affected Group
Florida						
State and Miami-Dade, Broward, Duval, Hillsborough, Leon, Orange, and Volusia Counties	NAACP v. Harris, No. 1:01cv120 (S.D. Fla.)	Settlements (multiple)	No	Punch-card voting; voter purges; poll worker training; provisional ballots	No	AA, H
Lake Park	United States v. Town of Lake Park, No. 09-80507 (S.D. Fla. 2009)	Consent Decree	Yes	At-large method of election	No	AA
Osceola County	United States v. Osceola County, 475 F. Supp. 2d 1220 (M.D. Fla. 2006)	Court Decision	Yes	At-large method of election	No	H
Osceola County School District	United States v. School Board of Osceola County, No. 6:08CV582 (M.D. Fla. 2008)	Consent Decree	Yes	At-large method of election	No	H
West Palm Beach City	Anderson v. West Palm Beach City, No. 9:94CV8135 (S.D. Fla. 1995)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	No	AA
Georgia						
Albany	Wright v. City of Albany, 306 F.Supp. 2d 1228 (M.D. Ga. 2003)	Liability admitted; Court Decision selected remedy	Yes	Redistricting plan	No	AA
Colquitt	Merritt v. City of Colquitt, No. 71:98CV00092 (M.D. Ga. 2000)	Consent Decree	Defendants admitted facts regarding a Section 2 violation	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	AA

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method By Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Challenged Voting Practice	Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?	Affected Group
Georgia						
Fayette County Board of Commissioners & School Board	Georgia State Conference of the NAACP v. Fayette County Board of Commissioners, 2013 WL 2948147 (N.D. Ga. 2013)	Court Decision	Yes	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4; post-Shelby remedial order did not include a Section 3 remedy	AA
Folkston	Stafford v. Mayor and Council of Folkston, No. 5:96CV00111 (S.D. Ga. 1997)	Consent Decree	Unknown	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	AA
LaGrange	Cofield v. City of LaGrange, 969 F. Supp. 749 (N.D. Ga. 1997)	Court Decision	Yes	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	AA
Long County	United States v. Long County, No. 206-040 (S.D. Ga. 2006)	Consent Decree	No	Voter challenge procedures	Was covered under Section 4	H
Marion County Commission	McBride and U.S. v. Marion County, no. 4:99-cv-134 (M.D. Ga. 2000)	Consent Decree	Defendants admitted to strong basis in fact and law for Section 2 violation	At-Large Method of Election	Was covered under Section 4	AA
McIntosh County Board of Commissioners	McIntosh County NAACP v. McIntosh Board of Commissioners, No. 2:77CV00070 (S.D. Ga. 1997)	Consent Decree	Unknown	Redistricting plan	Was covered under Section 4	AA
Monroe	U.S. v. City of Monroe (1995)	Settlement	Unknown	At-Large Method of Election	Was covered under Section 4	AA

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method By Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Challenged Voting Practice	Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?	Affected Group
Hawaii						
State	Arakaki v. State of Hawaii, 314 F. 3d 1091 (9th Cir 2002)	Court Decision	Yes	Qualification to serve in office	No	Non-Hawaiians
Illinois						
State	Black v. McGuffage, No. 1:01CV208 (N.D. Ill. 2003)	Settlement	No	Punch-card voting	No	AA
Calumet City	Reyes v. Stefaniak, (awarding fees: 1995 WL 38958 (N.D. Ill. Jan. 30, 1995))	Settlement	No	Redistricting plan	No	AA
Chicago	Barnett v. City of Chicago, 17 F. Supp. 2d 753 (N.D. Ill. 1998)	Court Decision	Yes	Redistricting plan	No	AA
Chicago Heights	Harper v. City of Chicago Heights, 1997 WL 102543 (N.D. Ill. 1997)	Court Decision	Yes	At-large method of election	No	AA
Cicero	United States v. Town of Cicero, 2000 WL 34342276 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 24, 2000) (TRO)	Temporary Restraining Order; Stipulated Order	No	Candidate residency requirement	Federal observers	H
Louisiana						
Baton Rouge	Glasper v. City of Baton Rouge, No. 3:93CV00537 (M.D. La. 2006)	Consent Judgment	No	Redistricting plan	Was covered under Section 4	AA

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method By Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Challenged Voting Practice	Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?	Affected Group
Louisiana						
Morgan City	United States & Keeler v. City of Morgan City, No. cv-00-1548 (W.D. La. 2000)	Settlement	Defendants admitted reasonable factual and legal basis for Section 2 violation claims had reasonable factual & legal basis	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	AA
Ouachita Parish School Board	Young v. Ouachita Parish, No. 3:02CV1644 (W.D. La. 2002)	Consent Judgment	No	Multi-member method of election & redistricting plan	Was covered under Section 4	AA
St. Bernard Parish School District	St. Bernard Citizens for Better Government v. St. Bernard Parish School Board, 2002 WL 2022589 (E.D. La. Aug. 26, 2002)	Court Decision	Yes	Redistricting plan	Was covered under Section 4	AA
St. Landry Parish Police Jury and School Board	NAACP v. St. Landry Parish, No. 6:03CV00610 (W.D. La. 2005)	Settlement	No	Redistricting plan	Was covered under Section 4	AA
St. Martinville	Grieg & U.S. v. City of St. Martinville, No. 6:00-cv-603 (W.D. La. 2001)	Defendant remedied action after case filed	Malapportionment admitted	Redistricting plan	Was covered under Section 4	AA
Massachusetts						
State	Black Political Task Force v. Galvin, 300 F. Supp. 2d 291 (D. Mass. 2004)	Court Decision	Yes	Redistricting plan for State House	No	AA

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method By Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Challenged Voting Practice	Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?	Affected Group
Massachusetts						
Lawrence	United States v. City of Lawrence (D.Mass. 1998)	Consent Decrees	No	At-large method of election; redistricting plan		H
Michigan						
Hamtramck	United States v. City of Hamtramck, no. 00-73541 (E.D. Mich. Aug. 7, 2000; Sep. 3, 2003; Jan. 29, 2004)	Consent Decree & Amended Decrees	No	Race-based challenges at polls (Arab-Americans required to take citizenship oath to vote)	Federal Observers	Arab Americans
Mississippi						
Attala County	Teague v. Attala County, 92 F. 3d 283 (5th Cir 1996), cert. denied 522 U.S. 807 (1997)	Court Decision	Yes	Redistricting plan	Was covered under Section 4	AA
Calhoun City	Coffey v. Calhoun City, No. 3:00CV00103 (N.D. Miss. 2002)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	AA
Calhoun County	Clark v. Calhoun County, 88 F. 3d 1393 (5th Cir 1996)	Court Decision	Yes	Redistricting plan	Was covered under Section 4	AA
Chickasaw County	Gunn v. Chickasaw County, 1997 WL 33426761 (N.D. Miss. 1997)	Court Decision	Yes	Redistricting plan	Was covered under Section 4	AA
Corinth	Copeland v. Corinth, No. 1:02CV00292 (N.D. Miss. 2003)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	AA
Lafayette County	Houston v. Lafayette County, 20 F. Supp. 2d 996 (N.D. Miss. 1998)	Court Decision	Yes	Redistricting plan	Was covered under Section 4	AA

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method By Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Challenged Voting Practice	Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?	Affected Group
Mississippi						
Merigold	Hogan v. Town of Merigold, No. 2:93CV00086 (N.D. Miss. 1995)	Consent Judgment	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	AA
Noxubee County (Democratic Executive Committee)	United States v. Brown, 561 F.3d 420 (5th Cir. 2009)	Court Decision	Yes	Voter intimidation	Was covered under Section 4	W
Quitman	Citizens for Good Government v. City of Quitman, 148 F. 3d 472 (5th Cir 1998)	Court Decision	Yes	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	AA
Starkville	Tryman v. City of Starkville (2003)	Settlement	Unknown	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	AA
Taylorsville	Sanders v. Town of Taylorsville, No. 3:1992CV00711 (S.D. Miss. 1995)	Consent Judgment	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	AA
Webb	Croft v. Town of Webb, 2:93CV00087 (N.D. Miss. 1995)	Consent Judgment	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	AA
Webster County	Woodard v. Webster County, No. 3:91CV00093 (N.D. Miss. 1995)	Consent Judgment	Unknown	Redistricting plan	Was covered under Section 4	AA
Montana						
Big Horn, Blaine, and Rosebud Counties	Wandering Medicine v. McCulloch, 1:12CV135 (D. Mont. 2014)	Settlement	No	Registration and early voting locations	No	NA

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method By Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Challenged Voting Practice	Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?	Affected Group
Montana						
Blaine County	United States v. Blaine County, 363 F. 3d 897 (9th Cir. 2004)	Court Decision	Yes	At-large method of election	No	NA
Ronan School District	Matt v. Ronan School District, No. 99-94 (D. Mont. 2000)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	No	NA
Roosevelt County	United States v. Roosevelt County, No. 00-50 (D. Mont. Mar. 24, 2000)	Consent Decree	Defendants admitted substantial basis in evidence for Section 2 violation	At-large method of election	No	NA
Rosebud County	Alden v. Board of County Commissioners of Rosebud County, 1:99CV1:48 (D. Mont. 2000)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	No	NA
Nebraska						
Thurston County	Stabler v. County of Thurston, 129 F. 3d 1015 (8th Cir 1997)	Court Decision	Yes	At-large method of election	No	NA
New York						
State	NAACP New York State Conference v. New York State Board of Elections, No. 10CV2950 (E.D.N.Y. 2012)	Settlement	No	Voting machines	No	AA, H
Albany County	Arbor Hill Concerned Citizens Neighborhood Assoc., 357 F.3d 260 (2nd Cir. 2004)	Court Decision	Yes	Redistricting plan	No	AA, H

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method By Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Challenged Voting Practice	Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?	Affected Group
Hempstead	Goosby v. Town Board of the Town of Hempstead, 180 F. 3d. 476 (2nd Cir 1999)	Court Decision	Yes	At-large method of election	No	AA
New Rochelle	New Rochelle Voter Defense Fund v. City of New Rochelle, 308 F. Supp. 2d 152 (S.D. N.Y. 2003)	Court Decision	Yes	Redistricting plan	No	AA
Port Chester	United States v. Village of Port Chester, 704 F.Supp. 2d 411 (S.D.N.Y. 2010)	Court Decision	Yes	At-large method of election	Preclearance	H
North Carolina						
Mount Olive	Fussell v. Town of Mount Olive, No 5:93CV00303 (E.D. N.C. 1995)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	AA
Washington County	Wilkins v. Washington County, No. 2:93CV00012 (N.D. N.C.1995)	Consent Judgment	Yes	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	AA
North Dakota						
Benson County	Spirit Lake Tribe v. Benson County, 2010 WL 4226614 (D. N.D. Oct. 21, 2010)	Court Decision	Preliminary Injunction	Polling places	No	NA
Benson County	United States v. Benson County, No. A2-00-30 (D. N.D. Mar. 10, 2000)	Consent Decree	Yes	At-large method of election	No	NA
Ohio						
Euclid	United States v. City of Euclid, 580 F.Supp. 2d 584 (N.D. Ohio 2008)	Court Decision	Yes	Mixed method of election	No	AA
Euclid School District	United States v. Euclid City School Board., 632 F.Supp 2d 740 (N.D. Ohio 2009)	Settlement	Yes	At-large method of election	No	AA
Pennsylvania						
Chester County	English v. Chester County, No. 2:10CV244 (E.D. Pa. 2010)	Settlement	No	Polling place	No	AA

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method By Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Challenged Voting Practice	Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?	Affected Group
Rhode Island						
State	Metts v. Almond, No. 1:02CV204 (D. R.I. 2004)	Settlement	No	Redistricting plan for state senate	No	AA
South Carolina						
Charleston County	United States v. Charleston County, 365 F.3d 341 (4th Cir. 2004), cert. denied 543 U.S. 999 (2004)	Court Decision	Yes	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	AA
Georgetown County School District	United States v. Georgetown County School District, No. 2:08-889 (D. S.C. 2008)	Consent Decree	Defendants admitted strong likelihood of Section 2 violation	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	AA
York County School District	Love v. York County School District No. 1 (2000)	Settlement	Unknown	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	AA
South Dakota						
State	Bone Shirt v. Hazeltine, 461 F.3d 1011 (8th Cir. 2006)	Court Decision	Yes	Redistricting plan for state legislature	No	NA
State	United States v. State of South Dakota (D. S.D. 2000)	Court Decision	State law violation	Multi-member method of election for State House district	No	NA
Buffalo County	Kirkie v. Buffalo County, No. 3:03CV3011 (D. S.D. 2004)	Settlement	Yes	Redistricting plan	Preclearance	NA
Charles Mix County	Blackmoon v. Charles Mix County, No. 4:05CV4017 (D. S.D. 2007)	Consent Decree	No	Redistricting plan	Preclearance & federal observers	NA

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method By Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Challenged Voting Practice	Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?	Affected Group
South Dakota						
Day County	United States v. Day County and Enemy Swim Sanitary District, No. 1:99CV1024 (D. S.D. 2000)	Settlement	Yes	Qualifications to vote	No	NA
Shannon County	Brooks v. Gant, 2013 WL 4017036 (D. S.D. 2013)	Resolved by defendants' post-litigation action	No	Early voting	No	NA
Wagner Community School District	Weddell v. Wagner Community School District, No. 4:02-4056 (D.S.D. 2003)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	No	NA
Tennessee						
State	West Tennessee African-American Affairs Council v. Sunquist, 209 F. 3d 835 (6th Cir 2000)	Court Decision	Yes	Redistricting plan for State House	No	AA
Bolivar	Hardeman County Branch of the NAACP v. City of Bolivar, 03-1041 (W.D. Tenn. Dec. 9, 2003)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	No	AA
Crockett County	United States v. Crockett County, no. 1-01-1129 (W.D. Tenn. 2001)	Consent Decree	Defendants admitted strong basis in fact & law for Section 2 violation	Redistricting plan	No	AA
Texas						
State	LULAC v. Perry, 548 U.S. 399 (2006)	Court Decision	Yes	Congressional redistricting plan	Was covered under Section 4	H
Abernathy	LULAC v. City of Abernathy, No. 5:94-cv-00083-C (N.D. Tex. 1995)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method By Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Challenged Voting Practice	Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?	Affected Group
Texas						
Amarillo I.S.D.	Reynoso v. Amarillo I.S.D., No. 2:98CV00186 (N.D. Tex. 1999)	Settlement	Yes	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Amherst I.S.D.	Hines v. Amherst I.S.D., No. 5:94CV00120 (N.D. Tex. 1995)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Andrews	LULAC, District 5 v. City of Andrews, No. 7:93CV00075 (W.D. Tex. 1996)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Anton I.S.D.	Rendon v. Anton I.S.D., No. 5:94CV00028 (N.D. Tex. 1996)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Atlanta I.S.D.	NAACP v. Atlanta I.S.D., No. 2:92-cv-00041-JH (E.D. Tex. 1995)	Settlement	Unknown	Unknown	Was covered under Section 4	AA
Ballinger	LULAC v. City of Ballinger, No. 6:95-cv-00018-C (N.D. Tex. 1995)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Ballinger I.S.D.	"LULAC v. Ballinger I.S.D., No. 6:95-cv-0016-C (N.D. Tex. 1995)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Big Spring I.S.D.	LULAC v Big Spring I.S.D., No. 1:96-cv-0027-C (N.D. Tex. 1996)	Consent Decree	Yes	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Boerne	LULAC v. City of Boerne, No. 5:96-cv-00808-XR (W.D. Tex. 2012)	Consent Decree	Unknown	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
City of Mason	LULAC v. City of Mason, No. 1:96CV00607 (W.D. Tex. 1997)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method By Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Challenged Voting Practice	Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?	Affected Group
Texas						
Dawson I.S.D.	LULAC v. Dawson I.S.D., No. 5:95CV00063 (N.D. Tex. 1996)	Consent Decree	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Devine	LULAC v. City of Devine, No. 5:95-cv-01158-OLG (W.D. Tex. 1997)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Devine I.S.D.	LULAC v. Devine I.S.D., No. 5:1995-cv-01236 (W.D. Tex. 1996)	Consent Judgment	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
D'Hanis I.S.D.	LULAC v. D'Hanis I.S.D., No. 5:95CV00524 (W.D. Tex. 1996)	Consent Decree	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Dumas I.S.D.	LULAC v. Dumas I.S.D., No. 2:93CV154 (N.D. Tex. 1996)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
East Central I.S.D.	Reyna v. East Central I.S.D., No. 5:98CV00433 (W.D. Tex. 1999)	Consent Decree	Yes	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Eden	LULAC v. City of Eden, 6:97CV00108 (N.D. Tex. filed 1998)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Eldorado	LULAC v. City of Eldorado (N.D. Tex. 1996)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Elgin I.S.D.	LULAC v. Elgin I.S.D., No. 3:95CV00458 (W.D. Tex. 1996)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Farmers Branch	Fabela v. City of Farmers Branch, 2012 WL 3135545 (N.D. Tex. 2012)	Court Decision	Yes	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method By Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Challenged Voting Practice	Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?	Affected Group
Texas						
Fort Davis I.S.D.	LULAC v. Fort Davis I.S.D., No. P-95-ca-49 (W.D. Tex. filed in 1998)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Garza County Hospital District	Tobias v. Garza County Hospital District, No. 5:00-cv-00293-C (N.D. Tex. 2001)	Consent Decree	Yes	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Garza County Hospital District	LULAC v. Garza County Hospital District, No. 5:96-CV-087-C (N.D. Tex. 1997)	Consent Decree	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
George West I.S.D.	LULAC v. George West I.S.D., No. 2:95CV00546 (S.D. Tex. 1996)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Goliad I.S.D.	LULAC v. Goliad I.S.D., No. 6:95CV00058 (S.D. Tex. 1996)	Consent Decree	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Hamlin I.S.D.	Pena v. Hamlin I.S.D., No. 1:93-cv-00179-C (N.D. Tex. 1997)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Harlandale I.S.D.	LULAC v. Harlandale I.S.D., No. 98CV966 (W.D. Tex. 2000)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Hereford I.S.D.	Gamez v. Hereford I.S.D., No. 2:95CV028 (N.D. Tex.)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Irion County I.S.D.	LULAC v. Irion County I.S.D., No. 6:95CV00079 (N.D. Tex. 1996)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Irving	Benavidez v. City of Irving, 638 F.Supp. 2d 709 (N.D. Tex. 2009)	Court Decision	Yes	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method By Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Challenged Voting Practice	Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?	Affected Group
Texas						
Jourdanton	LULAC v. City of Jourdanton, No. 5:95CV01113 (W.D. Tex. filed 1995)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Jourdanton I.S.D.	"LULAC v. Jourdanton I.S.D., No. SA-95-CA-0490 (W.D. Tex.)"	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Judson I.S.D.	LULAC v. Judson I.S.D., No. 5:96CV00878 (W.D. Tex. 1997)	Settlement	Unknown	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Karnes City	LULAC v. City of Karnes City, No. 5:95CV00203 (W.D. Tex. filed 1995)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Karnes City I.S.D.	LULAC v. Karnes City I.S.D., No. 95-CA-204 (W.D. Tex 1995)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Kenedy	LULAC v. City of Kenedy, 5:95CV00417 (W.D. Tex. filed 1995)	Settlement	Unknown	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Kenedy I.S.D.	Hines v. Kenedy I.S.D., No. SA-95-ca-219 (W.D. Tex. filed in 1995)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Kerrville I.S.D.	LULAC v. Kerrville I.S.D., No. 5:1995CV00268 (W.D. Tex. 1996)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Kress I.S.D.	Cabrera v. Kress I.S.D., No. 2:94CV186 (N.D. Tex. 1995)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Lancaster I.S.D.	Fowler v. Lancaster I.S.D., No. 3:93CV01800-R (N.D. Tex. 1995)	Agreed Order	Unknown	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	AA

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method By Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Challenged Voting Practice	Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?	Affected Group
Texas						
Lone Star College System	Hubbard v. Lone Star College System, No. 4:13-cv-1635 (S.D. Tex. 2013)	Consent Decree	Defendant admitted a basis in law & fact for Section 2 violation	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4 (post-Shelby case)	AA, H
Luling I.S.D.	LULAC v. Luling I.S.D., No. A-95-CA-459-JN (W.D. Tex. 1996)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Lynn	Moya v. City of Tahoka, No. 5:93-cv-00293-C (N.D. Tex. 1994)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Lynn County Hospital District	Sanchez v. Lynn County Hospital District, No. 5:95CV00062 (N.D. Tex. 1996)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Lytle	LULAC v. City of Lytle, No. 5:95CV000857 (W.D. Tex. 1997)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Lytle I.S.D.	LULAC v. Lytle I.S.D., No. 95CV857 (W.D. Tex. 1995)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Mason	LULAC v. City of Mason, No. 1:1996CV00607 (W.D. Tex. 1997)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Mason I.S.D.	LULAC v. Mason I.S.D., No. A-95-CV-169-JN (W.D. Tex. 1996)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Menard	LULAC v. City of Menard, 6:96CV00003 (N.D. Tex. 1996)	Settlement	Yes	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Menard I.S.D.	LULAC v. Menard I.S.D., No. 6:1995CV00024 (N.D. Tex. 1995)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method By Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Challenged Voting Practice	Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?	Affected Group
Texas						
Midland County Commissioners Court	Marmejejo v. Midland County, No. MO-95-cv-79 (W.D. Tex. 1996)	Settlement	Unknown	Redistricting plan	Was covered under Section 4	H
Miles	LULAC v. City of Miles (N.D. Tex. 1997)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Miles I.S.D.	LULAC v. Miles I.S.D., No.6:1995-cv-00043 (N.D. Tex. 1997)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Morton	LULAC v. City of Morton, No. 5:93-cv-148-C (N.D. Tex. 1995)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Natalia I.S.D.	LULAC v. Natalia I.S.D., No. 5:96-cv-00074-OLG (W.D. Tex. 1996)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Navarro College	Scott v. Navarro College, No. 3:94CV00156 (N.D. Tex. 1995)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	AA
Navarro I.S.D.	LULAC v. Navarro I.S.D., 5:95-cv-01235-FB (W.D. Tex. 1997)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
New Braunfels	Herrera v. City of New Braunfels, No. 5:95-cv-00390-OLG (W.D. Tex. 1995)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
North East I.S.D.	LULAC v. North East I.S.D., 903 F. Supp. 1071 (W.D. Tex. 1995)	Court Decision	Yes	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Northside I.S.D.	Arriola v. Northside I.S.D., No. 94-CV-699 (W.D. Tex. 1995)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method By Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Challenged Voting Practice	Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?	Affected Group
Texas						
Nueces Canyon Consol. I.S.D.	"LULAC v. Nueces Canyon C.I.S.D., No. 2:95CV00064 (W.D. Tex. filed 1995)"	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
O'Donnell	Garza v. City of O'Donnell, No. 5:94-cv-00065-C (N.D. Tex. 1995)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Olton	McClatchy v. City of Olton, No. 5:94CV00121 (N.D. Tex. 1995)	Settlement	Unknown	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Olton I.S.D.	McClatchy v. Olton I.S.D., No. 5:94-cv-00119-C (N.D. Tex. 1995)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Pettus I.S.D.	LULAC v. Pettus I.S.D., No. 2:95CV00545 (S.D. Tex. 1996)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Pleasanton I.S.D.	Trevino v. Pleasanton I.S.D., No. 5:95CV00466 (W.D. Tex. 1996)	Settlement	Unknown	Unknown	Was covered under Section 4	H
Post I.S.D.	LULAC v. Post I.S.D., No. 5:96CV00080 (N.D. Tex. 1997)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Poth	LULAC v. City of Poth, 5:95-cv-00690 (W.D. Tex. 1996)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Poth I.S.D.	LULAC v. Poth I.S.D., No. 5:95CV00523 (W.D. Tex. 1996)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Ropes I.S.D.	Perez v. Ropes I.S.D., No. 5:94-cv-00146-C (N.D. Tex. 1996)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method By Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Challenged Voting Practice	Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?	Affected Group
Texas						
Rotan	LULAC v. City of Rotan, No. 1:94-cv-00042-C (N.D. Tex. 1995)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Runge I.S.D.	LULAC v. Runge I.S.D., No. 5:95CV00220 (W.D. Tex. filed 1995)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Sharyland I.S.D.	LULAC v. Sharyland I.S.D., No. 7:96CV00132 (S.D. Tex. 1997)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Stanton	Singleterry v. City of Stanton, No. 7:94CV00089 (W.D. Tex. 1995)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Stockdale	LULAC v. City of Stockdale, No. 5:95CV00691 (W.D. Tex. filed 1995)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Stockdale I.S.D.	LULAC v. Stockdale I.S.D., No. 5:95CV00508 (W.D. Tex. 1996)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Sudan I.S.D.	Davila v. Sudan I.S.D., No. 5:1994CV00082 (N.D. Tex. 1997)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Sundown I.S.D.	Salas v. Sundown I.S.D., No. 5:94-cv-0081-C (1994)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Terry County Memorial Hospital District	Pauda v. Terry County Memorial Hospital District, No. 5:96-cv-00203-C (N.D. Tex. 1997)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H
Wilson	Bustamante v. Wilson I.S.D., No. 5:94CV137 (N.D. Tex. 1997)	Settlement	No	At-large method of election	Was covered under Section 4	H

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method By Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Challenged Voting Practice	Section 3 Remedy (preclearance and/or observers)?	Affected Group
Wisconsin						
State	Frank v. Walker, 2014 WL 1775432 (E.D. Wisc. 2014)	Court Decision	Yes	Photo ID requirement	No	AA, H
State	Baldus v. Members of Wisconsin Government Accountability Bd., 849 F.Supp.2d 840, 847 (E.D.Wis. 2012)	Court Decision	Yes	Redistricting	No	H
Wyoming						
Fremont County	Large v. Fremont County, 670 F.3d 1133 (10th Cir. 2012)	Court Decision	Yes	At-large method of election	No	NA

Preclearance Denials

Jurisdiction (submission no.)	Voting Change(s)	Action Date(s)	Affected Group
Alabama			
Tallapoosa County (97-1021)	Redistricting plan	2/6/98	AA
Alabaster (Shelby Cty) (2000-2230)	Annexations (ordinance nos. 94-338 and 96-410)	8/16/00	AA
Mobile County (2006-6792)	Change in method of election for filling vacancies occurring on the Mobile County Commission from special election to gubernatorial appointment	1/8/2007 (withdrawn 7-23-08 based on Supreme Court holding that no voting change occurred, Riley v. Kennedy, 553 U.S. 406 (2008))	AA
City of Calera (Shelby Cty) (2008-1621)	177 annexations and a redistricting plan	8/25/08	AA
Arizona			
State (2002-0276)	2001 legislative redistricting plan	5/20/02	H
Coconino Association for Vocations, Industry, and Technology (Coconino Cty) (2002-3844)	Method of election	2/4/03	NA
California			
Chualar Union Elementary School District (Monterey Cty) (2000-2967)	Method of electing school trustees from districts to at large	3/29/02	H
Florida			
State (98-1919)	Additional requirements for the absentee voting certificate and absentee ballot and the criminal penalty provided for in Section 26 (proposed Section 104.047 (3) of the Florida Election Code)	8/14/98	AA, H
State (2002-2637)	2002 redistricting plan for the Florida House of Representatives	7/1/02	H

Jurisdiction (submission no.)	Voting Change(s)	Action Date(s)	Affected Group
Florida			
State (DJ denied)	Reduction in early voting hours	8/16/2012, Florida v. United States, 885 F. Supp. 2d 299 (D.D.C.)	AA, H
Georgia			
Fulton County (94-4447)	Act No. 731 (1994)--addition of a ninth state court judgeship, four-year term of office, and implementation schedule	1/24/1995 (withdrawn 10-23-95)	AA
Jenkins County (94-2260)	Polling place (District 1)	3/20/95	AA
State (95-3656)	1995 Georgia State House and Senate redistricting plans	3/15/1996 (withdrawn 10-15-96)	AA
Webster County School District (98-1663)	Redistricting plan	1/11/00	AA
Tignall (Wilkes Cty) (99-2122)	Numbered posts, staggered terms and a majority-vote requirement	3/17/00	AA
Ashburn (Turner Cty) (94-4606)	Numbered posts and majority-vote requirement	10/1/01	AA
Putnam County (2002-2987)	2001 redistricting plan	8/9/02	AA
Putnam County School District (2002-2988)	2001 redistricting plan	8/9/02	AA
Albany (Dougherty Cty) (2001-1955)	2001 redistricting plan	9/23/02	AA
Marion County School District (2002-2643)	2002 redistricting plan	10/15/02	AA
Randolph County (2006-3856)	Change in voter registration and candidate eligibility	9/12/06	AA

Jurisdiction (submission no.)	Voting Change(s)	Action Date(s)	Affected Group
Georgia			
State (2008-5243)	Voter information verification program	5/29/09	AA, H, Asian
Lowndes County (2009-1965)	2009 redistricting plan	11/30/09	AA
Greene County (2011-4687)	2011 redistricting plan for the Board of Commissioners and Board of Education	4/13/12	AA
Long County (2012-2733)	2012 redistricting plan for the Board of Commissioners and Board of Education	8/27/12	AA
State (2012-3262)	Act 718 (2012) - Section 9; election date	12/21/12	AA
Louisiana			
Ville Platte (Evangeline Parish) (95-0295)	1994 redistricting plan	4/3/95	AA
Webster Parish (94-3165)	Reduction in the size of the police jury members from 12 to 7	7/18/95	AA
Shreveport City Court (Bossier and Caddo Parishes) (95-2037 and 95-2716)	11 annexations	9/11/1995 (withdrawn 8-25-97 upon change in method of election)	AA
Shreveport City Court (Bossier and Caddo Parishes) (95-3357)	Annexation (Ordinance No. 115 (1995))	12/11/1995 (withdrawn 8-25-97 upon change in method of election)	AA
State (96-2589)	1996 Louisiana Congressional redistricting plan (Act No. 96 (1st Ex. Sess. (1996))	8/12/96	AA
Shreveport City Court (Bossier and Caddo Parishes) (96-3506)	Two annexations (Ordinance Nos. 205 and 206 (1995))	10/24/1996 (withdrawn 8-25-97 upon change in method of election)	AA

Jurisdiction (submission no.)	Voting Change(s)	Action Date(s)	Affected Group
Louisiana			
Shreveport City Court (Bossier and Caddo Parishes) (96-4344)	Annexation (ordinance no. 207 (1995))	4/11/1997 (withdrawn 8-25-97 upon change in method of election)	AA
Shreveport City court (Bossier and Caddo Parishes) (97-1091)	Three annexations (ordinance nos. 188, 189 and 192 (1996))	6/9/1997 (withdrawn 8-25-97 upon change in method of election)	AA
St. Martinville (St. Martin Parish) (97-0879)	1997 redistricting plan (councilmanic)	10/6/97	AA
State (97-2264)	Act No. 1420 (1997), designation of time period during which voting precinct boundaries cannot be changed	1/13/98	AA
Washington Parish (98-1475)	Redistricting plan	4/27/99	AA
Minden (Webster Parish) (2002-1011)	2001 council redistricting plan	7/2/02	AA
Pointe Coupee Parish School District (Pointe Coupee Parish) (2002-2717)	2002 redistricting plan	10/4/02	AA
DeSoto Parish School District (DeSoto Parish) (2002-2926)	2002 redistricting plan	12/31/02	AA
Richland Parish School District (2002-3400)	2002 redistricting plan	5/13/02	AA
Tangipahoa Parish (2002-3135)	2003 redistricting plan	10/6/03	AA
Plaquemine (Iberville Parish) (2003-1711)	2003 redistricting plan	12/12/03	AA
Ville Platte (Evangeline Parish) (2003-4549)	2003 redistricting plan	6/4/04	AA
Delhi (Richland Parish) (2003-3795)	2003 redistricting plan	4/25/05	AA

Jurisdiction (submission no.)	Voting Change(s)	Action Date(s)	Affected Group
Louisiana			
State (2008-3512)	Act. No. 136 (2008), designation of time period during which voting precinct boundaries cannot be changed	8/10/09	AA
East Feliciana Parish (2011-2055)	2011 redistricting plan and voting precincts	10/3/11	AA
Michigan			
Buena Vista Township (Saginaw Cty) (2007-3837)	Closure of a Secretary of State branch office, which offers voter registration services and provides Michigan drivers licenses and personal identification cards	12/26/07	AA, H
Mississippi			
Adams County (94-4463)	1994 redistricting plan (board of supervisors)	1/30/95	AA
State (94-4538)	Chapter 625, H.B. No. 815 (1994)--prohibits lawmakers from holding dual offices	2/6/95	AA
Monroe County (95-0118)	1995 redistricting plan	3/20/95	AA
Chickasaw County (94-4316)	1994 redistricting plan	4/11/95	AA
Union County (95-1234)	1995 redistricting plan (board of supervisors)	6/20/95	AA
Aberdeen (Monroe Cty) (95-1120)	1994 redistricting plan	12/4/95	AA
Grenada (Grenada Cty) (96-3225)	Special referendum election	3/3/97	AA
State (95-0418)	Administrative plan for implementation of National Voter Registration Act of 1993 (NVRA)	9/22/97	AA

Jurisdiction (submission no.)	Voting Change(s)	Action Date(s)	Affected Group
Mississippi			
Grenada (Grenada Cty)(96-2219) 98-1598)	Annexation; cancellation of the election; redistricting plan	8/17/1998 (withdrawn 6-28-05 upon adoption of a remedial change)	AA
McComb (Pike Cty) (97-3795)	Designation of the American Legion Hut as a polling place	6/28/1999 (withdrawn 9-20-99 upon redrawing the boundaries of the voting precinct the polling place would serve and establishing a new polling place for the affected minority voters)	AA
Kilmichael (Montgomery Cty) (2001-2130)	Cancellation of the June 5, 2001 general election	12/11/01	AA
State (2009-2022)	Chapter No. 469 (H.B. 877 (2009)) majority vote requirement for County Boards of Education and the Board of Trustees of certain municipal and special municipal separate school districts embracing an entire county	3/24/10	AA
Amite County (2011-1660)	2011 redistricting plan for the board of supervisors and election commission districts.	10/4/11	AA
City of Natchez (Adams Cty) (2011-5368)	2011 redistricting plan	4/30/12	AA
City of Clinton (Hinds Cty) (2012-3120)	Redistricting plan	12/3/12	AA
New York			
New York City Community School District 12 (Bronx Cty) (96-3759)	Temporary replacement of all nine board members with three appointed trustees and the permanent replacement of all nine board members with five appointed trustees	11/15/96	AA, H
New York (Bronx, Kings and New York Ctys) (98-3193)	Change in method of election from single transferable vote to limited voting with four votes per voter	2/4/99	AA, H, Asian

Jurisdiction (submission no.)	Voting Change(s)	Action Date(s)	Affected Group
North Carolina			
State (95-2922)	Chapter 355 (1995)--prohibits state legislative and congressional district boundaries from crossing voting precinct lines unless the districts are found in violation of Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act	2/13/96	AA
Camp Butner Reservation (Granville Cty) (96-3224)	At-large method of election and staggered terms	2/3/97	AA
Harnett County School District (2001-3769)	2001 redistricting plan (board of education)	7/23/02	AA
Harnett County (2001-3768)	2001 redistricting plan (board of commissioners)	7/23/02	AA
City of Fayetteville (Cumberland Cty) (2007-2233)	Method of election from nine single-member districts to six and 2007 city council redistricting plan	6/25/07	AA
City of Kinston (Lenoir Cty) (2009-0216)	Nonpartisan method of election for mayor and council members	8/17/2009 (withdrawn 2/10/12 based upon change in relevant facts)	AA
Pitt County School District(2011-2474)	Method of election from twelve single-member districts to seven	4/30/12	AA
South Carolina			
Bennettsville (Marlboro Cty) (94-2216)	1994 redistricting plan	2/6/95	AA
Spartanburg County School District (Spartanburg Cty) (95-1979)	Section 19.67 of the 1995-96 State Government Appropriations Act which defunded the school district	11/20/95	AA
Gaffney Board of Public Works (Cherokee Cty) (95-2790)	Re-adoption of the at-large method of election	3/5/96	AA

Jurisdiction (submission no.)	Voting Change(s)	Action Date(s)	Affected Group
South Carolina			
State (97-0529)	1997 redistricting plan (Senate)	4/1/97	AA
Horry County (97-3787)	1997 redistricting plan	5/20/98	AA
Charleston (Berkeley and Charleston Ctys) (2001-1578)	2001 redistricting plan	10/12/01	AA
Greer (Greenville and Spartanburg Ctys) (2001-1777)	2001 redistricting plan	11/2/01	AA
Sumter County (2001-3865)	2001 redistricting plan	6/27/02	AA
Union County School District (Union Cty) (2002-2379)	2002 redistricting plan	9/3/02	AA
Clinton (Laurens Cty) (2002-1512) (2002-2706)	Annexations designation to Ward 1 (redistricting)	12/9/02	AA
Cherokee County School District No. 1 (Cherokee Cty) (2002-3457)	Reduction in the size of the school board	6/16/03	AA
North (Orangeburg Cty)(2002-5306)	Annexations	9/16/2003 (withdrawn 12-3-10 upon adoption of remedial change)	AA
Charleston County School District (2003-2066)	Method of electing the board of trustees from nonpartisan to partisan elections	2/26/04	AA
Richland-Lexington School District No. 5 (2002-3766)	Act Number 326, (2002), providing for a majority-vote requirement and numbered posts	6/25/04	AA
Fairfield County School District (2010-0970)	Act Number R136, (4432)(2010), providing for number of officials and method of election	8/16/10	AA

Jurisdiction (submission no.)	Voting Change(s)	Action Date(s)	Affected Group
South Carolina			
State (objection - 2011-2495; DJ subsequently denied in part & granted in part)	Act Number R54, requiring presentation of a photographic identification to cast a ballot	12/23/2011 (objection); 10/10/2012 (DJ decision), <i>South Carolina v. United States</i> , 898 F. Supp. 2d 30 (D.D.C.) (DJ denied for implementation of voting change in the 2012 general election; DJ granted for implementation in subsequent elections)	AA
South Dakota			
Shannon and Todd Counties (94-4477)	S.B. No. 17, Chapter 107 (1994), providing for voter removal procedures insofar as they incorporate the failure to vote within a four-year period as a trigger for mailing a registration confirmation notice	6-19-95 (withdrawn 11-5-96)	NA
Charles Mix County (2007-6012)	Referendum on the increase of county commissioners from three to five members and the 2007 redistricting plan	2/11/2008 (Section 3 objection)	NA
Texas			
State (94-4077)	Spanish language versions of registration forms and instructions	2/17/95	H
Edwards Underground Water District (Bexar, Hays, and Comal Ctys) (94-3902)	Temporary use of punch card ballots and the procedures relating thereto	3/2/95	AA, H
Andrews (Andrews Cty) (94-2271)	Method of election from at-large by majority vote with numbered posts, staggered terms, and a 2-2-1 method of staggering to cumulative voting by plurality vote with numbered posts, staggered terms, and a 3-2 method of staggering	6-26-95; DJ granted by consent, 1-29-96, <i>City of Andrews v. Reno</i> (D.D.C.)	H

Jurisdiction (submission no.)	Voting Change(s)	Action Date(s)	Affected Group
Texas			
State (95-2017)	Chapter 797 (1995), authorizing agency employees to make determinations of an individual's eligibility to register based on citizenship information contained in the agency's file	1/16/96	AA, H, Asian
Webster (Harris Cty) (96-1006)	Annexation (ordinance no. 95-33)	3-17-97 (withdrawn 4-7-98 upon adoption of a remedial change)	AA, H
State (98-1365)	Procedure for filling prospective judicial vacancies	9-29-98 (withdrawn 10-21-98)	H
Galveston (Galveston Cty) (98-2149)	Method of election to four single-member districts and two at-large seats, the adoption of numbered posts for the at-large seats, the adoption of a majority vote requirement for the election of city officers, and the proposed redistricting criteria	12-14-98 (partially withdrawn 02-04-02)	AA, H
Lamesa (Dawson Cty) (99-0270)	Deannexation by referendum of the property annexed under ordinance no. 0-06-98	7/16/99	AA, H
Sealy Independent School District (Austin Cty) (99-3823)	Numbered posts	6/5/00	AA, H
Haskell Consolidated Independent School District (Haskell, Knox, and Throckmorton Ctys) (2000-4426)	Cumulative voting with staggered terms	9/24/01	H
State (2001-2430)	Redistricting plan (House)	11/16/01	H
Waller County (2001-3951)	2001 redistricting plan (for commissioners court, justices of the peace, and constables)	6/21/02	AA, H
Freeport (Brazoria Cty) (2002-1725)	Method of electing city council members	8/12/02	AA, H

Jurisdiction (submission no.)	Voting Change(s)	Action Date(s)	Affected Group
Texas			
North Harris Montgomery Community College District (Harris and Montgomery Ctys) (2006-2240)	Reduction in polling places and early voting locations	5/5/06	AA, H
State (2007-5032)	Candidate qualifications	8/21/08	AA, H
Gonzales County (2008-3588)	Bilingual election procedures for the November 2, 2004, November 7, 2006, and November 4, 2008 general elections	3/24/09	H
Gonzales County (2009-3078)	Bilingual election procedures	3/12/10	H
Runnels County (2009-3672)	Bilingual election procedures	6/28/10	H
Nueces County (2011-3992)	Redistricting plan for the commissioners court	2/7/12	H
Galveston County (2011-4317 and 4374)	Redistricting plan for the commissioners court; reduction in the number of justice of the peace and constable precincts, and a redistricting plan for those offices	3/5/12	AA, H
State (DJ denied)	Redistricting plans for Congress, state House, and state Senate	8/28/2012, Texas v. United States, 887 F. Supp. 2d 133 (D.D.C.), vacated, 133 S. Ct. 2885 (2013), dismissed as moot, no. 11-1303 (D.D.C. Dec. 3, 2013)	AA, H
State (objection - 2011-2775; DJ subsequently denied)	SB 14 (2011), requiring photographic identification for in-person voting	3/12/2012 (objection); 8/30/2012, Texas v. Holder, 888 F. Supp. 2d 113 (D.D.C.) (DJ denied), vacated, 133 S. Ct. 2886 (2013), dismissed, no. 12-128 (D.D.C. Aug. 27, 2013)	AA, H
Beaumont Independent School District (Jefferson Cty) (2012-4278)	Method of election from seven single-member districts to five single-member districts	12/21/12	AA

Jurisdiction (submission no.)	Voting Change(s)	Action Date(s)	Affected Group
Texas			
Beaumont Independent School District (Jefferson Cty) (2013-0895)	Term of office; general election date; qualification procedures; and implementation of qualification procedures at May 2013 election	4/8/13	AA
Virginia			
Dinwiddie County (99-2229)	Polling place	10/27/99	AA
Northampton County (2001-1495)	Method of electing the board of supervisors from six single-member districts to three double-member districts and the 2001 redistricting plan for the board of supervisors	9/28/01	AA
Pittsylvania County (2001-2026) (2001-2501)	2001 redistricting plan for the board of supervisors and school board	4/29/02	AA
Cumberland County (2001-2374)	2001 redistricting plan for the board of supervisors	7/9/02	AA
Northampton County (2002-5693)	2002 redistricting plan for the board of supervisors	5/19/03	AA
Northampton County (2003-3010)	Redistricting plan for the board of supervisors	10/21/03	AA

Language Assistance

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method by Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Language(s)	Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?	VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought
Alaska						
Bethel	Nick v. Bethel, No. 3:07CV0098 (D. Alaska 2010)	Consent Decree	No	Yup'ik	No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)	Sections 4(f)(4), 203, & 208
Arizona						
Cochise County	United States v. Cochise County, No. 06-304 (D. Ariz. 2006)	Consent Decree	Yes	Spanish	No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)	Section 203 & HAVA
California						
Alameda County	United States v. Alameda County, No. 95-1266 (N.D. Cal. 1996)	Settlement Agreement and Order	No (however, county admitted failure to provide bilingual materials)	Chinese	Yes, and Section 3 pre-clearance also ordered	Sections 2 and 203
Alameda County	United States v. Alameda County, No. 11-3262 (N.D. Cal. 2011)	Consent Decree	No	Spanish & Chinese	Yes	Section 203
Azusa	United States v. City of Azusa, No. CV-05-5147 (C.D. Cal. 2005)	Consent Decree	Yes	Spanish	Yes	Section 203
Paramount	United States v. City of Paramount, No. 05-05132 (C.D. Cal. 2005)	Consent Decree	No	Spanish	Yes	Section 203
Riverside County	United States v. Riverside County, No. 10-1059 (C.D. Cal.)	Memorandum of Agreement, and Order (Jan. 26, 2010)	No	Spanish	Yes	Section 203

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method by Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Language(s)	Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?	VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought
California						
Rosemead	United States v. City of Rosemead, No. CV 05-5131 (C.D.Cal. 2005)	Consent Decree	No	Spanish, Chinese, & Vietnamese	Yes	Section 203
San Benito County	United States v. San Benito County, No. 5:04CV02056-JW (N.D. Cal. 2004)	Consent Decree	Yes	Spanish	Yes	Section 203
San Diego County	United States v. San Diego County, No. 04 CV 1273 IEG (S.D. Cal. 2004)	Memorandum of Agreement	No	Spanish & Tagalog, & voluntary Vietnamese program	No	Section 203
Ventura County	United States v. Ventura County, No. CV 04-6443 CAS VBK (C.D. Cal. 2004)	Consent Decree	No	Spanish	Yes	Section 203
Walnut	United States v. City of Walnut, No. 07-2437 (C.D. Cal. 2007)	Agreement (court ordered)	No	Chinese & Korean	Yes	Section 203
Florida						
Miami-Dade County	United States v. Miami-Dade County, No. 02-21698 (S.D. Fla. 2002)	Consent Order	No	Creole	Agreement for DOJ monitoring of elections, but not for federal observers	Section 208
Orange County	United States v. Orange County, No. 6:02-CV-737-Orl-22 JGG (M.D. Fla. 2002)	Consent Decree	No	Spanish	Agreement for DOJ monitoring of elections, but not for federal observers	Sections 203 and 208

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method by Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Language(s)	Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?	VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought
Florida						
Osceola County	United States v. Osceola County, No. 6:02-CV-738-ORL-22JGG (M.D. Fla. 2002)	Consent Decree	No	Spanish	Agreement for DOJ monitoring of elections, but not for federal observers	Section 2
Volusia County	Perez-Santiago v. Volusia County, No. 6:08CV1868 (M.D. Fla. 2010)	Settlement	No	Spanish	No	Section 4(e)
Hawaii						
State	Chen v. State of Hawaii, No. 10-245 (D. Haw.)	Settlement (out of court)	No	Chinese, Japanese, & Ilocano	No	Section 203
Illinois						
Kane County	United States v. Kane County, No. 07-5451 (N.D. Ill. 2007)	Memorandum of Agreement	No	Spanish	Yes	Sections 203 & 208
Massachusetts						
Boston	United States v. City of Boston, No. 05-11598 WGY (D. Mass. 2005)	Settlement Agreement & Order	No	Spanish, Chinese, & Vietnamese	Yes	Sections 2 & 203
Lawrence	United States v. City of Lawrence (D. Mass. 1999)	Settlement Agreement & Order	No	Spanish	No	Sections 2 & 203

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method by Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Language(s)	Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?	VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought
Massachusetts						
Springfield	United States v. City of Springfield, No. 06-301-303 (D. Mass. 2006 & 2008)	Settlement Agreements & Orders	No	Spanish	Yes	Sections 203 & 208
Worcester	Suit not filed	Memorandum of Understanding (between the U.S. & Mass.) (Sept. 22, 2008)	No	Spanish	No	Section 4(e)
Nebraska						
Colfax County	United States v. Colfax County, No. 8:12CV84 (D. Neb. 2012)	Consent Decree	No	Spanish	Yes	Section 203
New Jersey						
Passaic City and Passaic County	United States. City of Passaic, County of Passaic, No. 99-2544 (D. N.J. 1999)	Consent Decree	No	Spanish	Yes	Sections 2, 203, & 208
Salem County & Borough of Penns Grove	United States v. Salem County, No. 1:08CV3726 (D. N.J. 2008)	Settlement	No	Spanish	Yes	Sections 2, 4(e), & 208
New Mexico						
Bernalillo County	United States v. Bernalillo County, No. CV-98-156 BB/LCS (D. N.M. 1998)	Consent Decree	Yes	Navajo	Yes, and Section 3 pre-clearance also ordered	Sections 2 and 203

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method by Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Language(s)	Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?	VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought
New Mexico						
Cibola County	United States v. Cibola County, No. 93-1134 (D. N.M. 1994, 2004, 2007, 2009 & 2011)	Stipulations & Orders, & 2011 Letter of Agreement	Yes	Keresan & Navajo	Yes	Section 203, NVRA, & HAVA
Sandoval County	United States v. Sandoval Cty, No. 88-1457 (D. N.M. 1990, 1994, 2004, 2007, & 2011)	Settlement Agreements, Orders, & 2011 Letter of Agreement	Yes	Keresan & Navajo	Yes	Sections 2 & 203
New York						
Brentwood Union Free School District	United States v. Brentwood Union Free School District (E.D. N.Y. 2003)	Consent Decree	School district did not contest United States' factual allegations regarding noncompliance with Section 203	Spanish	Yes	Section 203
Duchess, Montgomery, Putnam, Rockland, Schenectady, Sullivan, & Ulster Counties	Suits not filed	Memoranda of Agreement (between NY AG's office & the counties) (2012)	No	Spanish	No	Section 4(e)
New York City (Kings, New York, & Queens Counties)	Chinatown Voter Education Alliance v. Ravitz, No. 6-cv-613 (S.D. N.Y. 2007)	Settlement (out of court)	No	Chinese & Korean	No (Kings & New York Counties were eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)	Sections 203 and 208

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method by Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Language(s)	Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?	VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought
New York						
New York City (Queens County)	Alliance of South Asian American Labor v. Board of Elections, No. 1:13-cv-03732 (E.D.NY. 2014)	Settlement	No	Bengali	No	Section 203
Orange County	United States v. Orange County, No. 12-cv-3071 (S.D. N.Y. 2012)	Consent Decree	Yes	Spanish	Yes	Section 4(e)
Suffolk County	United States v. Suffolk County, No. 04-2698 (E.D. N.Y. 2004)	Consent Decree	County did not contest United States' factual allegations regarding noncompliance with Section 203	Spanish	Yes	Section 203
Westchester County	United States v. Westchester County, No. 05-CV-0650 (CM)(S.D. N.Y., 2005 & 2008)	Consent Decree	No	Spanish	Yes	Section 203 & HAVA
Ohio						
Cuyahoga County	United States v. Cuyahoga County, No. 1:10CV1949 (N.D. Ohio 2010)	Agreement	No	Spanish	Yes	Section 4(e)
Lorain County	United States v. Lorain County, No. 1:11CV2122 (N.D. Ohio 2011)	Memorandum of Agreement	No	Spanish	Yes	Section 4(e)

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method by Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Language(s)	Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?	VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought
Pennsylvania						
Berks County	United States v. Berks County, No. 03-CV-1030: 250 F. Supp 2d. 525 (E.D. Pa., 2003)	Preliminary Injunction & Final Order	Preliminary injunction	Spanish	Yes	Sections 2, 4(e), & 208
Philadelphia	United States v. City of Philadelphia, No. 06-4592 (E.D. Pa. 2007)	Settlement (not filed with court)	No	Spanish	DOJ may request federal monitors for particular elections	Sections 2, 4(e), 203, & 208, HAVA, & NVRA
South Dakota						
Shannon County	Suit not filed	Memorandum of Agreement (Apr. 23, 2012)	No	Lakota	No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)	Sections 4(f)(4) & 203, & HAVA
Texas						
Brazos County	United States v. Brazos County, No. 06-2165 (S.D. Tex. 2006)	Consent Decree	Yes	Spanish	No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)	Sections 4(f)(4) & 208
Earth	United States v. City of Earth, No. 5:7CV144 (N.D. Tex. 2007)	Consent Decree	Yes	Spanish	No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)	Section 203
Ector County	United States v. Ector County, No. M005CV131 (W.D. Tex. 2005)	Consent Decree	Yes	Spanish	No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)	Section 4(f)(4)

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method by Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Language(s)	Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?	VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought
Texas						
Fort Bend County	United States v. Fort Bend County, No. 4:9CV1058 (S.D. Tex. 2009)	Consent Decree	No	Spanish	No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)	Sections 4(f)(4) & 208, & HAVA
Galveston County	United States v. Galveston County, No. 3:7CV377 (S.D. Tex. 2007)	Consent Decree	Yes	Spanish	No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)	Section 4(f)(4) & HAVA
Hale County	United States v. Hale County, No. 5:05CV43 (N.D. Tex. 2006)	Consent Decree	Yes	Spanish	No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)	Sections 203 & 208
Harris County	Suit not filed	Memorandum of Agreement (2004)	No	Vietnamese	No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)	Section 203
Littlefield Independent School District	United States v. Littlefield ISD, No. 5:7CV145 (N.D. Tex. 2007)	Consent Decree	Yes	Spanish	No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)	Section 203
Post Independent School District	United States v. Post ISD, No. 5:7CV146 (N.D. Tex. 2007)	Consent Decree	Yes	Spanish	No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)	Section 203
Seagraves Independent School District	United States v. Smyer ISD, No. 5:7CV148 (N.D. Tex. 2007)	Consent Decree	Yes	Spanish	No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)	Section 203
Smyer Independent School District	United States v. Seagraves ISD, No. 5:7CV147 (N.D. Tex. 2007)	Consent Decree	Yes	Spanish	No (was eligible for observers because of Section 4 coverage)	Section 203

Subjurisdiction	Case	Method by Which Case Was Resolved	Was a Violation Found?	Language(s)	Were Federal Observers Authorized Under Section 3 of the VRA?	VRA Provisions, and Other Laws, Under Which Relief Was Sought
Washington						
Yakima County	United States v. Yakima County, No. CV-04-3072-LRS (E.D. Wash. 2004)	Consent Decree	No	Spanish	Yes	Section 203